1	Reducing ammonia emissions from dairy cattle production
2	via cost-effective manure management techniques in China
3	Nannan Zhang <sup>1,2,†</sup> , Zhaohai Bai <sup>1,†</sup> , Wilfried Winiwarter <sup>3,4</sup> , Stewart Ledgard <sup>5</sup> , Jiafa Luo <sup>5</sup> ,
4	Juan Liu <sup>1,2</sup> , Yongqing Guo <sup>1,6</sup> , Lin Ma <sup>1,*</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Agricultural Water Resource, Hebei Key Laboratory of Soil Ecology,
7	Center for Agricultural Resources Research, Institute of Genetic and Developmental
8	Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 286 Huaizhong Road, Shijiazhuang 050021,
9	Hebei, China;
10	<sup>2</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19 A Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District,
11	Beijing 100049, China
12	<sup>3</sup> International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Schlossplatz 1, A-2361
13	Laxenburg, Austria
14	<sup>4</sup> The institute of Environmental Engineering, University of Zielona Gora, Licealna 9, 65-
15	417 Zielona Gora, Poland
16	<sup>5</sup> AgResearch Limited, Ruakura Research Centre, Private Bag 3123, Hamilton 3240, New
17	Zealand
18	<sup>6</sup> College of Animal Science, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642,
19	China
20	† These authors contributed to this paper equally.

21 \* Corresponding author: email, malin1979@sjziam.ac.cn, phone +8618503216030

23	Abstract: This study analyzed ammonia reduction potential and related costs and benefits
24	of several ammonia emission reduction technologies applicable for dairy production from
25	cattle in China. Specifically, these included diet manipulation, manure acidification,
26	manure/slurry covers and solid manure compaction. Ammonia emissions for China were
27	estimated using the GAINS and NUFER models, while mitigation potential of
28	technologies was determined from laboratory studies. Ammonia reduction potentials
29	from dairy production in China, ranged from 0.8 to 222 Gg $NH_3$ yr <sup>-1</sup> for the selected
30	technologies. Implementation costs ranged from a saving of 15 US\$ $kg^{-1}$ NH <sub>3</sub> abated to
31	expenditure of 45 US\$ kg <sup>-1</sup> $NH_3$ abated, while the total implementation costs varied from
32	saving of 1.5 billion US\$ in 2015 to expenditure of a similar size. Best $NH_3$ reduction
33	technology was manure acidification, while the most cost-effective option was diet
34	optimization with lower crude protein input. For most abatement options, material costs
35	were the critical element of overall costs. The fertilizer value of manure could partly offset
36	the implementation cost of the options tested. Furthermore, benefits due to avoided health
37	damage, as a result of reducing NH <sub>3</sub> emissions, could turn all abatement options (except
38	for manure compaction) to being profitable on the scale of a national economy.



# 42 **1. Introduction**

43	Over 50% of ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ) emission in China was caused by livestock manure during
44	2000-2008, <sup>1</sup> which was about 4.1-5.1 Tg N yr <sup>-1</sup> and much higher than that for the United
45	States or the European Union (1.7-3.2 Tg N·yr <sup>-1</sup> during 2000 to 2008). <sup>2-4</sup> An important
46	source of $NH_3$ emissions in China is dairy production from cattle at nearly 8.9% of the
47	total in 2009.5 Based on predicted changes, the contribution of dairy production to the
48	total $NH_3$ emission in China will increase to 15% by 2030. <sup>5</sup> Ammonia in the atmosphere
49	is not only a pollutant itself, but it also contributes to many other environment problems.
50	As a precursor compound to inorganic aerosols, atmospheric NH <sub>3</sub> contributes to the
51	formation of particulate matter with diameter less than 2.5 $\mu m$ (PM_{2.5}) due to its reaction
52	with nitric and sulfuric acids, causing severe haze pollution and adverse effects on human
53	health in China. <sup>6-11</sup> Furthermore, NH <sub>3</sub> deposition to soil and water and subsequent
54	conversions contribute to acidification of lakes, eutrophication of natural ecosystems and
55	formation of the greenhouse gas $N_2O.^{9, 12-14}$ Because of the high emission rate and
56	negative effects on the environment, NH <sub>3</sub> emission mitigation is urgently required in
57	China. At the same time, emissions of NH <sub>3</sub> represent the loss of a valuable resource of
58	nitrogen (N) for agriculture.

In manure, hydrolysis of urea or decomposition of organic N produces NH<sub>3</sub>, which
diffuses to the surface and is released to ambient air. This process of NH<sub>3</sub> emission is

62	influenced by many factors, including the equilibrium between $NH_4^+$ and $NH_3$ in aqueous
63	environments, pH, temperature, wind speed, and turbulence over the manure surface. <sup>15</sup>
64	Hou et al. <sup>16</sup> summarized previous studies exploring NH <sub>3</sub> emission abatement options,
65	including dietary manipulation, reducing volatile NH3 in manure, urine-faeces
66	segregation and binding ammonium-N with chemical additives. The most effective $NH_3$
67	emission reduction options were reducing N excretion, addition of acids to manure or
68	covering manure during storage. However, most of the underlying studies were conducted
69	in Europe, and there is a large difference between manure management systems in dairy
70	farms in China and Europe, including in dairy housing, manure collection and storage
71	practices. <sup>17-20</sup> Moreover, the cost of the mitigation options could limit their
72	implementation and application in dairy farms. However, current practices of manure
73	treatment in China are inefficient and offer many opportunities for greater recycling of
74	manure and nutrient utilization. <sup>17, 21</sup> This illustrates that it is important to get local data
75	both on the NH <sub>3</sub> reduction potential from relevant mitigation options for dairy production
76	in China and on their related costs or benefits. In this study, we aimed to 1) assess the
77	NH <sub>3</sub> reduction potential of several abatement options from dairy production in China, 2)
78	explore implementation of the abatement measures and estimate the related economic
79	costs, and 3) discuss future pathways for $NH_3$ emission abatement from dairy production
80	in China.
81	

# 82 **2. Materials and methods**

Ammonia reduction potential of several selected ammonia abatement techniques and their costs and benefits were analysed using the GAINS (Greenhouse gas - Air pollution interactions and synergies) model<sup>22, 23</sup> coupled with parameters derived from the NUFER<sup>24</sup> model and laboratory trials. Based on the data for individual measures, the implications for cost-effective ammonia emission mitigation exploration in the future were determined.

89

# 90 **2.1 Estimation of ammonia emission and reduction from dairy production based on**

91 GAINS model

In the present study, estimation of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions and reduction potential from dairy production in China were considered along the whole manure management chain including grazing, housing, storage, treatment and application. Total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from dairy production were the sum of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from all stages of the manure management chain, coupled with NH<sub>3</sub> reduction efficiencies of the abatement options under different mitigation scenarios, using the GAINS model.<sup>22, 23</sup> The calculations used the following equation:<sup>25</sup>

99 
$$E_{NH_3} = \sum_r L_{r,y} \sum_m \sum_{s=1}^{5} [ef_{y,r,s}(1 - \eta_{r,m,s,y})]$$
(1)

100 Where  $E_{NH_3}$  represents the total ammonia emission from dairy production; r is specific 101 province; y is specific year; m is mitigation technique; s is emission stage (five stages 102 including grazing, housing, storage, treatment and application); L is animal population; 103 ef is ammonia emission factor;  $\eta$  is reduction efficiency of specific mitigation technique. 104 Ammonia emission factors were calculated using N excretion and volatilization rates at 105 distinct stages, accounting for N-losses involving NH<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> emissions at 106 previous stages. Provincial data for N excretion and volatilization rates were derived from the GAINS<sup>22, 23</sup> and NUFER<sup>24</sup> models, while NH<sub>3</sub> reduction efficiency was derived from 107 108 the laboratory trials described in the Supporting Information (optimized reduction 109 potential are shown in Table S1), which is considered to be a reliable source of 110 information about reduction efficiencies for application to Chinese dairy production 111 systems as lack of data from previous studies.<sup>16</sup>

112

#### 113 **2.2 NH<sub>3</sub> mitigation scenarios**

114 As there was a shortage of local research on  $NH_3$  emission mitigation from dairy 115 production in China, 12 scenarios of mitigation measures for manure management in a 116 dairy farm were considered, including current practice (control), low protein feed, 117 acidification, cover and acid (slurry), cover (slurry), manure cover and acid (liquid), cover 118 (liquid), plastic film cover, manure compaction, compaction & cover (liquid), manure 119 compaction & cover and acid (liquid), based on surveys and experts' knowledge. "Slurry" 120 was a mixture of urine and faeces without bedding materials. "Liquid" and "solid" were 121 liquid and solid fractions separated from slurry using a screw-press separator. The details 122 of the scenarios are shown below.

Scenario 0: control. This scenario assumes a dairy production system in China with no mitigation technique implemented. NH<sub>3</sub> emission under this scenario was used as a baseline value.

Scenario 1: low protein feed. In order to achieve higher milk production with better quality, dairy cows are fed with extra crude protein (CP) resulting in increased N excretion and posing a high risk for NH<sub>3</sub> emission. Common practice is for 17% of the cow's diet to be crude protein, based on experts' knowledge. For this low protein feed scenario, it was assumed the diet was reduced to 15% crude protein and this reduction in feed protein would not affect milk production. As no extra equipment was needed to use lower protein diets, there was no extra cost considered for its implementation.

133 Scenario 2: acidification. Acidification is an effective measure to reduce NH<sub>3</sub> emission 134 from manure management systems. For this scenario it was assumed that the dairy 135 building was equipped with a slatted floor and diluted sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 1:100) was 136 sprayed to form a 3 mm layer on top of the manure surface under the slatted floor. To 137 estimate the cost involved in acidification, the following general assumptions were made 138 about dairy houses, acidification systems and application based on experts' knowledge: 139 1) each dairy building was equipped with two stirring systems, which were used to dilute the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and four sprinkler systems, which were used to apply the diluted H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to the 140 141 surface of the slurry under the slatted floor; 2) each sprinkler system included 50 nozzles, 142 110 m of pipe and one pump; and 3) 3 L of tap water per square meter of manure was 143 used to clean the spraying system after each operation (see Figure 1a). Acidification of 144 the manure surface was expected to have little influence on the quality of the slurry and 145 bio-availability of N in the manure as the amount of acid was small (3 mm surface layer) 146 compared to the slurry volume.

147 Scenario 3: cover (slurry). During storage, slurry was covered with a vermiculite cover 148 to a depth of 6 cm. It was assumed that a system would consist of a U-spiral conveyor 149 with mesh on the bottom to be moved on tracks installed on the edge of the lagoon. 150 Moving the conveyor along the tracks at a certain speed would distribute covering 151 materials through the mesh. As the size of the tank influenced the costs of the equipment 152 and operation, it was assumed that the lagoon used for storage was 10 m wide with a 153 maximum depth for stored slurry and liquid manure of 4.5 m, based on general dairy farm 154 practice (Figure 1b).

Scenario 4: cover and acid (slurry). During storage, slurry was covered with a 6-cmthick mixture of vermiculite and lactic acid at a volume ratio of 1:5. The equipment used for this measure was the same as for scenario 3, plus a mixer for mixing lactic acid with vermiculite.

Scenario 5: cover (liquid). This scenario was similar to scenario 3 replacing slurry in
scenario 3 with liquid manure.

161 Scenario 6: cover and acid (liquid). This scenario was similar to scenario 4 replacing

slurry in scenario 4 with liquid manure.

- 163 Scenario 7: plastic film cover. Solid manure was covered with plastic film during
- storage, and the implementation of the plastic film cover was mainly through manual
- 165 operation (Figure 1c). The lifetime of the plastic film was assumed to be 1 year.
- 166 Scenario 8: manure compaction. This scenario assumed that solid manure was
- 167 compacted until the volume of the manure halved. Implementation was via a road roller.
- 168 To estimate the cost of technical implementation, we assumed that the depth of stored
- solid manure was 1.5 m, with 0.15 m solid manure being added per day.

170 Scenario 9: manure compaction & cover (liquid). In order to account for additional

- 171 emissions of compaction due to leaking liquid manure, this scenario was a combined
- 172 measure with manure compaction and cover (liquid).

173 Scenario 10: manure compaction & cover and acid (liquid). This scenario was the

same as Scenario 9 but combined with application of acid (liquid).

175 Scenario 11: combined measures. Considering the mitigation options from the

176 perspective of the manure management chain, this scenario was a combination of the diet

177 manipulation, acidification and cover (slurry) scenarios.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of technical implementation of the acidification system in
housing (a), the covered system over the surface of manure (b), and the plastic film cover
and compaction system (c).

182

# 183 **2.3.** Cost estimation for technical implementation of abatement options

184 Cost for the implementation of abatement options was divided into investment cost, fixed 185 operation cost and variable operation cost.<sup>26</sup> The investment cost estimation for technical 186 implementation was based on the price of the equipment and installation costs for the 187 abatement options, considering the lifetime of the equipment and an interest rate of 4%. 188 Estimation of the fixed operation cost was based on the fixed operation cost at rate of 4% 189 of the total investment. The fixed operation cost reflected the cost of maintenance, 190 insurance, and administrative overhead. Variable operation costs covered costs of labor, 191 energy and materials used for the abatement options, considering the usage amount and 192 price of the materials. As the investment cost varied with herd size, the calculation was based on the assumption that a dairy farm had 500 cows, a representative herd size for a 193 194 dairy farm in China. The parameters used in the calculation can be seen in Tables S2 and 195 S3.

196

#### 197 **2.4 Benefit estimation**

# 198 2.4.1 Benefit from mineral fertilizer saving

In addition to the cost of technical implementation of these abatement options, we also estimated the costs saved when manure (and N retained) was used as fertilizer. Cost saving from N abated from selected measures was calculated from the price of mineral fertilizer, the amount of N retained in manure and a use factor to describe the potential efficiency of manure N as a substitute for mineral fertilizer, which was assumed to be 75%.

205

#### 206 **2.4.2 Benefit from reduced health damage and mortality**

207 Quantification of health-related costs and attributing such costs to a single cause (air 208 pollution) is inherently difficult. Hence, data are sparse and very uncertain. With 209 increased mortality being the most significant impact, it seems useful to integrate a value 210 judgement of human life. For Europe, Desaigues et al.<sup>27</sup> have provided a framework, from 211 Willingness-To-Pay studies but have also taken national GDP and life expectancy as well 212 as information from medical practice into consideration. They have developed the 213 concept of "Value of a life year" (VOLY), which, for Europe, is calculated at 40000 EUR 214 (25000 EUR to 100000 EUR). The application to air pollution and related premature deaths was the explicit aim of the study. The value of 40000 EUR has been further used in the European Nitrogen Assessment<sup>28</sup> (and also related to other relevant parameters, like the Value of a Statistical Life) for a cost benefit analysis. Using the relationship between emissions and atmospheric PM concentrations on the one hand, and population density on the other hand, these authors quantify the resulting benefit in health-related costs of reducing one mass unit of reactive nitrogen in countries of the European Union (EU27).

222

Here we assume that the relationship between emissions and impacts (in terms of PM formation as well as impact of incremental PM on health) also hold for the conditions in China, except that the observed concentrations need to be weighted by population density. In order to account for a possibly different perception of VOLY, an approach was followed that had been used for China previously, allowing for a VOLY of 10% as "decreased health damage costs".<sup>3</sup> Calculation of health damage then can be performed according to Eq (2):<sup>3, 28, 29</sup>

230 
$$Cost_{HD} = \frac{VOLY_{China}}{VOLY_{EU27}} \times \sum_{i=1}^{31} Emission_i \times f_{EU27}(PD_i)$$
(2)

Where,  $Cost_{HD}$  is the health damage cost of life year loss in US\$ million yr<sup>-1</sup>; VOLY<sub>China</sub> is the value of a life year of air pollution mortality in China; VOLY<sub>EU27</sub> is the value of a life year of air pollution mortality in EU27;  $\frac{VOLY_{China}}{VOLY_{EU27}}$  is an adjustment factor for the VOLY of 100% (using European health data cost set)<sup>3, 28, 29</sup> and 10% (decreased health damage cost); <sup>3, 29</sup> i is a province in mainland China; *Emission<sub>i</sub>* is the total NH<sub>3</sub> emission from the respective province in Gg yr<sup>-1</sup>;  $f_{EU27}(PD_i)$  is the equation for health damage cost per reactive nitrogen emission related to population density in Europe in US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub>-N; <sup>28, 29</sup> *PD<sub>i</sub>* is the population density of the respective province in capita km<sup>-2</sup>. Population density was estimated from population and land area.<sup>30, 31</sup>

240

# 241 **2.5.** Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis

242 To estimate the uncertainty of  $NH_3$  mitigation potential and economic benefits of the 243 options tested, we performed Monte Carlo simulations using @RISK software (Palisade 244 Corporation) by varying the parameters for  $NH_3$  emission estimation and cost-benefit 245 analysis. Data for the variability of the input parameters were obtained from this study, literature review and a survey, and are presented in Tables S4. Careful differentiation was 246 247 done between statistically dependent and independent elements, due to potential differing 248 impacts on resulting probability parameters. Individual parameters that were derived 249 separately were regarded as statistically independent, whereas if an identical parameter 250 was applied to different statistical data, it was considered as statistically dependent, e.g., 251 each element of Table S4 was considered statistically independent. We ran 1000 iterations 252 to find the probability distributions of the baseline  $NH_3$  emissions in 2015, predicting 253 NH<sub>3</sub> reduction and net economic benefit from the selected mitigation measures in the 254 present study. Output distributions of 1000 simulated data of each Monte-Carlo result

255	were approximated using the software's built-in functionality to apply the Akaike
256	information criterion for an idealized representation. The resulting distribution is termed
257	the "best fit" distribution. This approach also allows derivation of the standard deviation
258	of such idealized output distributions. Results are presented as +/- two standard deviations,
259	with the uncertainty range covering 95% of the statistical outcomes. In addition, we
260	analyzed the sensitivity of net economic benefit to the variation of health damage cost
261	saving, total technical implementation cost and mineral fertilizer saving using @RISK
262	software. The Monte-Carlo simulations did not account for the variation in accounting of
263	health damage – instead the two discrete values developed above were maintained.
264	
265	3. Results and discussion
265 266	3. Results and discussion 3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China
265 266 267	<ul> <li>3. Results and discussion</li> <li>3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China</li> <li>In the present study, it was assumed that selected options were fully adopted (100%) to</li> </ul>
265 266 267 268	3. Results and discussion         3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China         In the present study, it was assumed that selected options were fully adopted (100%) to         the respective stage of all dairy systems in China which obviously was an optimal
265 266 267 268 269	3. Results and discussion         3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China         In the present study, it was assumed that selected options were fully adopted (100%) to         the respective stage of all dairy systems in China which obviously was an optimal         assumption to achievable NH <sub>3</sub> reductions. Unabated NH <sub>3</sub> emissions ("Control") were
265 266 267 268 269 270	3. Results and discussion         3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China         In the present study, it was assumed that selected options were fully adopted (100%) to         the respective stage of all dairy systems in China which obviously was an optimal         assumption to achievable NH <sub>3</sub> reductions. Unabated NH <sub>3</sub> emissions ("Control") were         derived from data from dairy production systems in China to estimate total emission of
265 266 267 268 269 270 271	3. Results and discussion         3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China         In the present study, it was assumed that selected options were fully adopted (100%) to         the respective stage of all dairy systems in China which obviously was an optimal         assumption to achievable NH <sub>3</sub> reductions. Unabated NH <sub>3</sub> emissions ("Control") were         derived from data from dairy production systems in China to estimate total emission of         458 Gg NH <sub>3</sub> in 2015, of which 186, 93, 76, 85 and 19 Gg were from housing, storage,
265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272	3. Results and discussion 3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China In the present study, it was assumed that selected options were fully adopted (100%) to the respective stage of all dairy systems in China which obviously was an optimal assumption to achievable NH <sub>3</sub> reductions. Unabated NH <sub>3</sub> emissions ("Control") were derived from data from dairy production systems in China to estimate total emission of 458 Gg NH <sub>3</sub> in 2015, of which 186, 93, 76, 85 and 19 Gg were from housing, storage, treatment, application and grazing, respectively (Figure 2). The annual NH <sub>3</sub> emission
<ul> <li>265</li> <li>266</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> <li>269</li> <li>270</li> <li>271</li> <li>272</li> <li>273</li> </ul>	3. Results and discussion <b>3.1.1. Ammonia emission from dairy production under abatement options in China</b> In the present study, it was assumed that selected options were fully adopted (100%) tothe respective stage of all dairy systems in China which obviously was an optimalassumption to achievable NH <sub>3</sub> reductions. Unabated NH <sub>3</sub> emissions ("Control") werederived from data from dairy production systems in China to estimate total emission of458 Gg NH <sub>3</sub> in 2015, of which 186, 93, 76, 85 and 19 Gg were from housing, storage,treatment, application and grazing, respectively (Figure 2). The annual NH <sub>3</sub> emissionestimated in the present study was lower than the 578 Gg NH <sub>3</sub> in 2010 estimated by Zhang

275	and data from different years, and Zhang et al. <sup>21</sup> also accounted for NH <sub>3</sub> emission from
276	animal feed production. Results from all the scenarios, excluding compaction, showed a
277	reduction efficiency in the range of 4-49% from the whole chain of dairy production in
278	China (Figure 2 and S1). A Monte Carlo simulation with the uncertainty of input
279	parameters showed that the baseline NH <sub>3</sub> emission in 2015 was in the range of 375-680
280	Gg NH <sub>3</sub> (95% Confidence Interval) with a standard deviation of 84 Gg NH <sub>3</sub> (Figure 3).
281	The potential distribution of the simulated results of baseline NH <sub>3</sub> emission ("control")
282	in 2015 based on Monte Carlo analysis is presented in Figure S2.



Figure 2. Ammonia emission from selected abatement options for dairy production in China in 2015. The respective scenarios are described in Section 2.2.

286

Taking diet manipulation, acidification during housing, vermiculite cover on slurry and
combined measures as examples, hotspots (Hebei, Henan, Shandong, Heilongjiang,

Inner-Mongolia, Xinjiang) of NH<sub>3</sub> emission have been identified, which provide the greatest mitigation potentials (Figure S3). Uncertainty analysis, using the Monte Carlo simulation of the variation of input parameters, showed no large variation in reduction of NH<sub>3</sub> emission from the tested options, excluding low protein feed. Details of the uncertainty range for NH<sub>3</sub> emission reduction are presented in Figures 3 and S2.

294

295 In practice, not all of the abatement options will be operating at full scale all the time. In 296 order to account for possible malfunctions of equipment, inadequate upscaling from lab 297 to farm scale, or specific situations where a given technology is just not applicable, we 298 ran a sensitivity case with 80% of implementation achieved.<sup>32</sup> Results of this sensitivity 299 case are presented in the Supporting Information (Figure S4). This showed that annual 300  $NH_3$  reduction potentials under selected options ranged from -2-115 Gg  $NH_3$  in 2015, 301 which was equivalent to -0.10-8.79 kg NH<sub>3</sub> cow<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S4), and the combined 302 measure with diet manipulation, acidification of manure under slatted floors and 303 vermiculite cover on slurry during storage could remove 182 Gg of NH<sub>3</sub> emission from 304 dairy production in the case year.



**Figure 3.** Uncertainty of  $NH_3$  reduction potential of emission abatement options. The respective measures are described in more detail in Section 2.2.

308

The present study on the estimation of NH<sub>3</sub> emission from the following manure management stages only accounted for the influence on N retained in manure and not the potential effect of changes in physical and chemical characteristics of manure.<sup>40</sup> Consideration of effects of physical and chemical properties on manure and emission factors for abatement options is necessary in future.

314

315 **3.2.** Cost of the abatement options

#### 316 **3.2.1.** Technical implementation of the abatement options

317 Based on the technical implementation assumption and results of any economic data

- 318 survey in the present study, the cost of the selected NH<sub>3</sub> emission abatement options
- ranged from a saving of 15 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> abated to a cost of 45 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> abated,
- 320 which was equivalent to a saving of 74 US\$ cow<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> to a cost of 74 US\$ cow<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>

321 (Figure 4). The different ratios on kg NH<sub>3</sub> abated and an animal basis were due to the



322 difference of reduction potential per animal under the selected options.



Figure 4. Cost of technical implementation of NH<sub>3</sub> emission abatement options. Blue and orange bars are the cost values "on an individual cow basis" and "on a kilogram NH<sub>3</sub> abated basis", respectively. The respective measures are described in more detail in Section 2.2. A negative cost value refers to cost saving from the selected abatement measures.

329

For the diet manipulation option, adjusting diet protein would not need any extra technical equipment, labor or energy input. Therefore, there was no additional implementation cost for the diet manipulation option. However, a lower crude protein diet may change the cost of feed due to different ingredients. According to the diets used in the present study, a lower crude protein diet would be cheaper and the net economic benefit estimated from the diet manipulation was calculated at 15 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> abated, equivalent to 74

336	US\$ cow <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> (Figure 4), which is similar to the cost saving estimated in a study by
337	VanderZaag et al. <sup>34</sup> The cost of diet manipulation was determined by the composition of
338	the feed, price of the ingredients, and the variability of feed costs based on market
339	fluctuations rather than change of local conditions. <sup>26</sup> Cost saving from lower crude
340	protein in the diet is due to a greater choice of low-protein ingredients with lower prices.
341	Moreover, the feeding experiment used to provide manure for testing effects of the lower
342	CP feed also showed milk production of cows with the low protein diet and standard diet
343	were similar, with both approximately 30 kg day-1, and no significant differences in the
344	protein content and milk yield between the two diet treatments. <sup>35</sup> However, future studies
345	of long-term effects on milk yield and the related indirect impacts on farm benefits are
346	still needed to be confirmed.

348 Acidification of the manure surface under the housing was a highly efficient measure for NH<sub>3</sub> abatement, with a cost of 1.5 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> abated, equivalent to 10 US\$ cow<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> 349 350 (Figure 4). The difference in the cost of abating the same amount of NH<sub>3</sub>, using the 351 vermiculite or acidified vermiculite cover options between slurry and liquid manure 352 storage, was due to differences in both the total amounts of slurry and liquid manure 353 produced and in NH<sub>3</sub> reduction efficiencies for stored slurry and liquid manure. A 354 previous study summarized annual costs for a number of cover types, including natural 355 crust, straw, floating permeable coverage (e.g., hexacover), floating impermeable coverage such as clay balls, wood, a tent, concrete and a storage bag,<sup>34</sup> and the results showed a range in costs from 2.2 to 9.8 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> abated. The price of the cover materials and the amount of coverage used were the main reasons for the difference in costs.

360

The plastic film cover was the cheapest NH<sub>3</sub> abatement option, at only 0.3 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> abated due to the low price of plastic film, low labor requirement and little investment input. The abatement cost of compaction of solid manure was highest among the options investigated at 45 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> abated. The costs per kg NH<sub>3</sub> abated for compaction with the vermiculite cover or acidified vermiculite cover were much lower.

366

The highest cost of the selected NH<sub>3</sub> abatement options was 74 US\$ cow<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for the 367 368 acidified vermiculite cover for the slurry store, which corresponded to about 16% of the profit for dairy production in China.<sup>21, 36</sup> In the present study, the total cost for technical 369 370 implementation of the NH<sub>3</sub> abatement options was divided into three parts including 371 investment, fixed operation and variable operation costs. The variable operation cost of 372 the selected  $NH_3$  abatement options accounted for the largest share of the total cost, 373 ranging from 46 to 100% (Figure S5). In absolute number, the variable operation costs 374 ranged from 0.3 to 72 US $\$  cow<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. The investment cost for the acidification option in animal housing was very high at 4.8 US\$ cow<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, equivalent to 47% of the total cost. 375

Also the variable operation cost, including materials, labor and energy were high for the acidification option. Replacement of materials with similar chemical and physical properties with lower prices (e.g. using H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> instead of lactic acid for acidifying the vermiculite cover) could be an option for consideration.

380

Costs for technical implementation of the selected mitigation options were based on assumptions about the technical implementation and related economic parameters. As the equipment and materials were available locally or could be bought online at similar prices varying only slightly in shipping costs, variation in the cost for technical implementation on an animal basis could be negligible. For 2015 in China, the technical implementation of diet manipulation was estimated to directly save 1536 Million US\$, while the cost under other scenarios ranged from 6 to 1538 Million US\$ (Figure 5).





Figure 5. Costs and benefits of NH<sub>3</sub> emission abatement options for dairy production in
 China in 2015. The respective measures are described in more detail in Section 2.2. A

negative value for "Total technical implementation cost" refers to cost saving from the
implementation. A positive value for "Net economic benefit" refers to net benefit from
the combined costs for technical implementation and cost saving from replacement of
mineral fertilizer, while a negative value refers to a net cost from the cost for technical
implementation and cost saving from replacement of mineral fertilizer.

396

# **397 3.2.2. Benefit from ammonia emission abatement options**

398 Ammonia abatement options help retain more N in manure, and N retained in manure 399 could replace mineral N fertilizers applied to crop systems. Considering cost saving as a 400 result of reduction in mineral N fertilizers by using manure, the NH<sub>3</sub> abatement options 401 could potentially generate a profit. Cost saving from fertilizer benefit derived from the 402 use of extra N retained in manure was estimated at US\$0.3-54 million in 2015 in China 403 (Figure 5). The largest fertilizer cost saving for a single mitigation was with acidification 404 in housing and the least cost saving was with manure compaction. The large variance in 405 the cost saving from mineral fertilizer was a direct result of the NH<sub>3</sub> mitigation potential 406 of the different reduction options.

407

In addition to the costs and benefits of implementing the selected mitigation options, we also analyzed the balance. Based on technical implementation costs and total mineral fertilizer cost saving for the manure management options, only the cost for plastic film cover on solid manure during storage was so low that the saving in mineral fertilizer cost would produce an economic benefit (US\$ 2.0 Million yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 5). Using the Monte Carlo simulation, uncertainties for net economic benefit of the selected abatement options

414	were assessed and are shown in Figure S6 in Supporting Information (SI). Taking diet
415	manipulation, acidification during housing, vermiculite cover on slurry and combined
416	measures as examples, the balance between technical implementation and total mineral
417	fertilizer cost saving showed a large regional variation across China (Figure S7). Under
418	the diet manipulation scenario, the net economic benefit was higher in the hotspots of
419	NH3 emission (e.g. Hebei, Henan, Shandong, Heilongjiang, Inner-Mongolia, Xinjiang:
420	Figure S3 and S7). The "combined measures" scenario showed the same pattern as diet
421	manipulation, because cost saving from diet manipulation dominated the balance.
422	Nevertheless, acidification of manure under slatted floors in housing and vermiculite
423	cover on slurry during storage showed an opposite pattern with considerable net costs for
424	the balance.

426 Quantification of benefits due to avoided health damage costs has been dealt with 427 separately and has not been analyzed in the uncertainty assessment, as critical additional 428 assumptions need to be considered. Specifically, the "adjustment factor" describing 429 potential different perceptions of human life values (expressed as VOLY) is critical. Using an adjustment factor of 10%, avoided damage costs ranged from 0.4 to 27 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> 430 NH<sub>3</sub> emission in the different provinces (Figure S8), with an average of 3.5 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> 431 emission for all of China in 2015. Using the same cost set as used for Europe,<sup>28. 29</sup> the 432 433 health damage costs would be much higher at 3.9 to 268 US\$ kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>3</sub> emission in the different provinces. Taking diet manipulation, acidification during housing, vermiculite
cover on slurry and combined measures as examples, higher health damage costs occurred
in eastern China, which coincided with higher population densities, reflecting a larger
population exposure and a more developed economy with a potentially greater
willingness to pay for health.<sup>37</sup>

439

440 Taking health damage cost (VOLY from the European dataset) into consideration, all the 441 abatement options investigated in this study, except for solid manure compaction, would 442 be profitable (Table S5). However, large regional variation in the balance between 443 technical implementation cost, mineral fertilizer cost saving and health damage cost 444 saving can be seen in Figures S9 and S10. Except for Inner-Mongolia and Tibet, the 445 balance for all regions showed a net economic benefit, which was highest in regions with 446 greatest population and large NH<sub>3</sub> reduction potential. With health damage costs reduced 447 to 10%, rewards were much smaller and only some of the emission abatement options 448 resulted in net economic benefit (Table S5 and Figure S10), i.e. the cover for liquid 449 manure or slurry, plastic film cover, acidification and low protein feed. Acidified 450 coverage of stored slurry and liquid manure showed no net economic benefit due to the 451 high implementation costs of these options.

452

453 Potential economic benefits of the abatement options presented in this study depend on

454	many factors that are also uncertain. Specifically, the costs of the options, animal numbers,
455	NH <sub>3</sub> emission rate and parameters determining that emission rate affect the overall
456	economic valuation. Hence, the underlying uncertainties also affect the net economic
457	benefit of the respective mitigation options. The Monte Carlo simulation and associated
458	sensitivity tests, which are detailed in SI, help to understand these effects. It becomes
459	evident that the conclusions remain robust under most conditions. Their impact is small
460	compared to the assumptions about health damage cost saving based on NH <sub>3</sub> reduction.
461	As already noted, choosing the more health-conscious cost range with the European
462	dataset may shift the overall cost balance toward selecting almost any of the mitigation
463	options.

#### 465 **3.3 Implications**

Dairy production is projected to contribute 15% of total NH<sub>3</sub> emission in China by 2030,<sup>5</sup> 466 467 which might lead to a great environmental and health risk. Previous studies have been conducted in Europe, mainly focusing on NH<sub>3</sub> reduction, related cost and benefit for dairy 468 production.<sup>16, 24, 28, 29</sup> In this study we have made a comprehensive analysis of ammonia 469 470 mitigation potentials, technical implementation costs of the selected technologies, and 471 their related potential benefits based on the models of NUFER and GAINS and the data 472 from experimental trials and local surveys. This is the first study for this kind of 473 comprehensive analysis for dairy cattle production in China, which provides consolidate

474	support for controlling the air pollution from dairy farm manure management practices
475	and contributes important knowledge for further developing cost-effective mitigation
476	measures for NH <sub>3</sub> emissions. Our results showed that diet manipulation, acidification of
477	the manure surface and vermiculite coverage on slurry during storage could reduce 144,
478	106 and 65 Gg $NH_3$ , respectively, with economic benefits of 4.4, 1.1 and 0.6 billion US\$,
479	respectively, from Chinese dairy production in 2015. However, our estimation of the
480	benefits remains conservative and incomplete.
481	
482	Atmospheric NH <sub>3</sub> is not only a precursor to particulate matter (PM), which negatively
483	affects human health - an issue that has been considered in this analysis. It is also a
484	precursor for tropospheric ozone, and can decrease plant productivity. <sup>38</sup> Nitrous oxide
485	(N <sub>2</sub> O), the third most important greenhouse gas, can be produced as a result of $NH_3$ being
486	deposited on soils. <sup>39</sup> Also global warming effects on ecosystems are contributed to by
487	ammonia emissions. <sup>39</sup> These effects and the potential benefits of avoiding them have not
488	been integrated in this study. Reducing protein levels in animal diets could directly lower
489	the cost of animal production, while a change in diet ingredients also poses an opportunity

- to reduce potential environmental damage from the fodder production, processing and
   transportation sectors.<sup>40, 41</sup> Considering all the elements mentioned above, benefits further
   increase over costs, consequently the mitigation measures might be even more profitable
- 493 (population benefit rather than profit to farmers). Moreover, a regional analysis pointed

494	out that hotspots of NH <sub>3</sub> reduction potential coincided with a higher net benefit, implying
495	that mitigation of NH <sub>3</sub> emission via most of the selected measures is cost-effective and
496	needs urgent attention, especially in the more developed regions of China with large
497	population densities. Based on the above, more effort to promote NH <sub>3</sub> reduction from
498	dairy production is needed and is also economically beneficial, even if only on a national
499	scale rather than for an individual farm. It is likely that this statement holds true for
500	livestock production in general.

502 Uncertainties in our analysis are mainly related to the input values and parameters used 503 in the GAINS and NUFER models used for emission calculations. As shown above, 504 results are robust beyond these uncertainties. The translation of reduction efficiencies for 505 the mitigation measures from lab scale to farm and regional scale is provided with 506 uncertainty estimates in this study. Some studies on reduction efficiencies have been 507 performed,<sup>16</sup> but only few of them for Chinese conditions. Hence there is insufficient data 508 at farm scale to provide accurate estimates for different regions of China. Therefore, the 509 results from the laboratory trials were considered to be a reliable source of information 510 about reduction efficiencies for application in Chinese dairy production systems, and the 511 present study with uncertainty analysis provides an important contribution to close this 512 knowledge gap. Based on the methods of technical implementation used in this study, all 513 the selected measures should be able to be applied to all regions in China. For these reasons the results from the present study could represent an optimized reduction potential

- 515 for dairy production in China.
- 516

# 517 Acknowledgements

518 This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China 519 [2018YFC0213300]; the National Research Program for Key Issues in Air Pollution 520 Control [DQGG0208]; the National Natural Science Foundation of China [31572210; 521 31711540134]; the Program of International S&T Cooperation [2015DFG91990]; the 522 New Zealand Government through the Livestock Emissions & Abatement Research 523 Network (LEARN) scholarship programme; the Key Research Program of the Chinese 524 Academy of Sciences [ZDRW-ZS-2016-5-1]; the Hebei Dairy Cattle Innovation Team of 525 Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System [HBCT20181202206]; the 526 Distinguished Young Scientists Project of Natural Science Foundation of Hebei 527 [D2017503023]; and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association, CAS [2019101]. This 528 work was initiated during Nannan Zhang's stay at IIASA with the Young Scientists 529 Summer Program.

530

## 531 Supporting Information

532 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

533

30

Ammonia measurements for abatement options, reduction efficiency and

534 uncertainties

#### 536 References

- 537 1. Kang, Y.; Liu, M.; Song, Y.; Huang, X.; Yao, H.; Cai, X.; Zhang, H.; Kang, L.; Liu,
- 538 X.; Yan, X.; He, H.; Zhang, Q.; Shao, M.; Zhu, T. High-resolution ammonia
- emissions inventories in China from 1980 to 2012. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2016, 16,
- 540 2043-2058.
- 541 2. Zhang, L.; Chen, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Henze, D. K.; Zhu, L.; Song, Y.; Paulot, F.; Liu, X.;
- 542 Pan, Y.; Lin, Y. Agricultural ammonia emissions in China: reconciling bottom-up and
- 543 top-down estimates. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18*, 339-335.
- 3. Gu, B.; Ju, X.; Chang, J.; Ge, Y.; Vitousek, P. M. Integrated reactive nitrogen budgets
- 545 and future trends in China. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2015, 112, 8792-8797.
- 4. Paulot, F.; Jacob, D. J.; Pinder, R. W.; Bash, J.O.; Travis, K.; Henze, D. K. Ammonia
- 547 emissions in the United States, European Union, and China derived by high-resolution
- 548 inversion of ammonium wet deposition data: Interpretation with a new agricultural
- 549 emissions inventory (MASAGE\_NH<sub>3</sub>). J. Geophys. Res-Atmos. 2014, 119, 4343-
- 550
   4364.
- 551 5. Xu, P.; Koloutsou, S.; Rood, M. J.; Luan, S. Projections of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from
- 552 manure generated by livestock production in China to 2030 under six mitigation
- 553 scenarios. Sci. Total. Environ. 2017, 607, 78-86.
- 6. Huang, R. J.; Zhang, Y.; Bozzetti, C.; Ho, K. F.; Cao, J. J.; Han, Y.; Daellenbach, K.
- 555 R.; Slowik, J. G.; Platt, S. M.; Canonaco, F.; Zotter, P.; Wolf, R.; Pieber, S. M.; Bruns,

556		E. A.; Crippa, M.; Ciarelli, G.; Piazzalunga, A.; Schwikowski, M.; Abbaszade, G.;
557		Schnelle-Kreis, J.; Zimmermann, R.; An, Z.; Szidat, S.; Baltensperger, U.; Haddad, I.
558		E.; Prévôt, A. S. H. High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution
559		during haze events in China. Nature 2014, 514, 218-222.
560	7.	Gu, B.; Sutton, M. A.; Chang, S. X.; Ge, Y.; Chang, J. Agricultural ammonia
561		emissions contribute to China's urban air pollution. Front. Ecol. Environ. 2014, 12,
562		265-266.
563	8.	Pinder, R. W.; Gilliland, A. B.; Dennis, R. L. Environmental impact of atmospheric
564		NH3 emissions under present and future conditions in the eastern United States.
565		Geophys. Res. Lett. 2008, 35 (12), 1-6.
566	9.	Sutton, M. A.; Bleeker, A.; Howard, C.; Erisman, J.; Abrol, Y.; Bekunda, M.; Datta,
567		A.; Davidson, E.; de Vries, W.; Oenema, O. Our nutrient world. The challenge to
568		produce more food & energy with less pollution. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology,
569		Edinburgh, 2013; https://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/fulltext/249094
570	10	. Chang, Y.; Liu, X.; Deng, C.; Dore, A. J.; Zhuang, G. Source apportionment of
571		atmospheric ammonia before, during, and after the 2014 APEC summit in Beijing
572		using stable nitrogen isotope signatures. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2016, 16, 11635-11647.
573	11	. Meng, Z.; Lin, W.; Zhang, R.; Han, Z.; Jia, X. Summertime ambient ammonia and its
574		effects on ammonium aerosol in urban Beijing, China. Sci. Total. Environ. 2017, 579,

575 1521-1530.

576	12. Shen, G.; Xue, M.; Yuan, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, Q.; Li, B.; Wu, H.; Ding, A. Chemical
577	compositions and reconstructed light extinction coefficients of particulate matter in a
578	mega-city in the western Yangtze River Delta, China. Atmos. Environ. 2014, 83, 14-
579	20.
580	13. Tao, J.; Zhang, L.; Ho, K.; Zhang, R.; Lin, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Lin, M.; Cao, J.; Liu, S.;
581	Wang, G. Impact of PM2.5 chemical compositions on aerosol light scattering in
582	Guangzhou — the largest megacity in South China. Atmos. Res. 2014, 135, 48-58.
583	14. van Breemen, N.; Burrough, P. A.; Velthorst, E. J.; van Dobben, H. F.; de Wit, T.;
584	Ridder, T. B.; Reijnders, H. F. R. Soil acidification from atmospheric ammonium
585	sulphate in forest canopy throughfall. Nature 1982, 299, 548-550.
586	15. Hristov, A.N.; Hanigan, M.; Cole, A.; Todd, R.; McAllister, T. A.; Ndegwa, P. M.;
587	Rotz, A. Review: ammonia emissions from dairy farms and beef feedlots. Can. J.
588	Anim. Sci. 2011, 91(1), 1-35.
589	16. Hou, Y.; Velthof, G. L.; Oenema, O. Mitigation of ammonia, nitrous oxide and
590	methane emissions from manure management chains: a meta-analysis and integrated
591	assessment. Global. Change. Biol. 2015, 21, 1293-1312.
592	17. Bai, Z.; Ma, L.; Oenema, O.; Chen, Q.; Zhang, F. Nitrogen and phosphorus use
593	efficiencies in dairy production in China. J. Environ. Qual. 2013, 42, 990-1001.
594	18. Bai, Z.; Ma, L.; Jin, S.; Ma, W,; Velthof, L. G,; Oenema, O,; Liu, L,; Chadwick, D,;
595	Zhang, F. Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium Flows through the Manure

596	Management Chain in China. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> <b>2016</b> , <i>50</i> (24), 13409–13418.
597	19. Bai, Z.; Li, X.; Lu, J.; Wang, X.; Velthof, L, G.; Chadwick, D.; Luo, J.; Ledgard, S.;
598	Wu, Z.; Jin, S,; Oenema, O.; Ma, L.; Hu, C. Livestock Housing and Manure Storage
599	Need to Be Improved in China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51 (15), 8212-8214
600	20. Adamski, M.; Glowacka, K.; Kupczynski, R.; Benski, A. Analysis of the possibility
601	of various litter bedding application with special consideration of cattle manure
602	separate. Acta. Sci. Pol. Zootechnica. 2011, 10, 5-12.
603	21. Zhang, N.; Bai, Z.; Luo, J.; Ledgard, S.; Wu, Z.; Ma, L. Nutrient losses and
604	greenhouse gas emissions from dairy production in China: Lessons learned from
605	historical changes and regional differences. Sci. Total. Environ. 2017, 598, 1095-1105.
606	22. Klimont, Z.; Brink, C. Modeling of emissions of air pollutants and greenhouse gases
607	from agricultural sources in Europe. IIASA Interim Report. IIASA: Vienna, 2004;
608	http://pure.iiasa.ac.at/id/eprint/7400/1/IR-04-048.pdf
609	23. Amann, M.; Bertok, I.; Borken-Kleefeld, J.; Cofala, J.; Heyes, C.; Höglund-Isaksson,
610	L.; Klimont, Z.; Nguyen, B.; Posch, M.; Rafaj, P.; Sandler, R.; Schöpp, W.; Wagner,
611	F.; Winiwarter, W. Cost-effective control of air quality and greenhouse gases in
612	Europe: Modeling and policy applications. Environ. Modell. Softw. 2011, 26, 1489-
613	1501.
614	24. Ma, L.; Ma, W.; Velthof, G.; Wang, F.; Qin, W.; Zhang, F.; Oenema, O. Modeling
615	nutrient flows in the food chain of China. J. Environ. Qual. 2010, 39, 1279-1289.

616	25. Klimont, Z.; Winiwarter, W. Estimating costs and potential for reduction of ammonia
617	emissions from agriculture in the GAINS model. In Costs of ammonia abatement and
618	the climate co-benefits; Reis, S., Howard, C., Sutton, M. A.; Springer: Belin 2015; pp
619	233-261.
620	26. Klimont, Z.; Winiwarter, W. Integrated ammonia abatement - modelling of emission
621	control potentials and costs in GAINS. IIASA Interim Report. IIASA: Vienna, 2011;
622	https://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep15778.
623	27. Desaigues, B.; Ami, D.; Hutchison, M.; Rabl, A.; Chilton, S.; Metcalf, H.; Hunt, A.;
624	Ortiz, R.; Navrud, S.; Kaderjak, P.; Szanto, R.; Nielsen, J. S.; Jeanrenaud, C.;
625	Pellegrini, S.; Braun Kohlova, M.; Ščasný, M.; Máca, V.; Urban, J.; Stoeckel, M. E.;
626	Farreras, V. Final report on the monetary valuation of mortality and morbidity risks
627	from air pollution. NEEDS-New Energy Externalities Developments for
628	Sustainability, Deliverable D6.7. Uparis: Paris, 2007;
629	http://www.needs-project.org/RS1b/NEEDS_RS1b_D6.7.pdf
630	28. Brink, C.; van Grinsven, H.; Jacobsen, B. H.; Klimont, Z. Costs and benefits of
631	nitrogen in the environment. In The European Nitrogen Assessment; Sutton, M.A.;
632	Howard, C. M.; Erisman, J. W.; Billen, G.; Bleeker, A.; Grennfelt, P.; van Grinsven,
633	H.; Grizzetti, B. Cambridge University Press: New York. 2011, pp 513-540.
634	29. Gu, B.; Ge, Y.; Ren, Y.; Xu, B.; Luo, W.; Jiang, H.; Gu, B.; Chang, J. Atmospheric
635	Reactive Nitrogen in China: Sources, Recent Trends, and Damage Costs. Environ. Sci.

- 636 *Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 9420-9427.
- 637 30. China Statistical Yearbook. http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2017/indexch.htm.
- 638 **2017.** (accessed December 30).
- 639 31. Ministry of Civil Affairs of the People's Republic of China,
  640 http://xzqh.mca.gov.cn/map. (accessed May 30, 2018).
- 641 32. Chen, X.; Cui, Z.; Fan, M.; Vitousek, P.; Zhao, M.; Ma, W.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, W.;
- 642 Yan, X.; Yang, J.; Deng, X.; Gao, Q.; Zhang, Q.; Guo, S.; Ren, J.; Li, S.; Ye, Y.;
- Wang, Z.; Huang, J.; Tang, Q.; Sun, Y.; Peng, X.; Zhang, J.; He, M.; Zhu, Y.; Xue,
- J.; Wang, G.; Wu, L.; An, N.; Wu, L.; Ma, L.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, F. Producing more
- grain with lower environmental costs. *Nature* **2014**, *514*, 486.
- 646 33. Ni, J. Mechanistic Models of Ammonia Release from Liquid Manure: a Review. J.
- 647 *Agric. Eng.***1999**, *72*, 1-17.
- 648 34. VanderZaag, A.; Amon, B.; Bittman, S.; Kuczyński, T. Ammonia Abatement with
- 649 Manure Storage and Processing Techniques. In: Costs of Ammonia Abatement and
- 650 *the Climate Co-Benefits*; Reis, S.; Howard, C.; Sutton, M.A.; Springer Netherlands:
- 651 Dordrecht 2015; pp 75-112.
- 652 35. Guo, Y., Dietary Manipulation to Reduce Nitrogen and Phosphorus Excretion by
- animals. Internal report in Chinese **2017**, Available upon request.
- 654 36. Yin, C. Cost-benefit analysis of effects from farm scale of dairy production in China.
- 655 *Chinese J. Anim. Sci.* **2013**, 49 (16), 4-6.

- 656 37. Mauzerall, D. L.; Sultan, B.; Kim, N.; Bradford, D. F. NOx emissions from large point
- 657 sources: variability in ozone production, resulting health damages and economic costs.
- 658 *Atmos. Environ.* **2005**, *39*, 2851-2866.
- 659 38. Erisman, J. W.; Galloway, J.; Seitzinger, S.; Bleeker, A.; Butterbach-Bahl, K.
- 660 Reactive nitrogen in the environment and its effect on climate change. Curr. *Opin.*
- 661 *Environ. Sust.* **2011**, *3*, 281-290.
- 662 39. Gu, B.; Ju, X.; Wu, Y.; Erisman, J. W.; Bleeker, A.; Reis, S.; Sutton, M. A.; Lam, S.
- 663 K.; Smith, P.; Oenema, O.; Smith, R. I.; Lu, X.; Ye, X.; Chen, D., Cleaning up
- nitrogen pollution may reduce future carbon sinks. *Global Environ. Change* **2018**, *48*,
- 665 56-66.
- 40. Clark, M.; Tilman, D. Comparative analysis of environmental impacts of agricultural
- 667 production systems, agricultural input efficiency, and food choice. *Environ. Res. Lett.*
- **2017**, *12*, 064016.
- 41. Baroni, L.; Cenci, L.; Tettamanti, M.; Berati, M. Evaluating the environmental impact
- 670 of various dietary patterns combined with different food production systems. *Eur. J.*
- 671 *Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *61*, 279.
- 672