Working Paper

Assessment of the Average Annual Methane Flux from the Soils of Russia

V.V. Zelenev

WP-96-51 May 1996



Assessment of the Average Annual Methane Flux from the Soils of Russia

V.V. Zelenev

WP-96-51 May 1996

Working Papers are interim reports on work of the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis and have received only limited review. Views or opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of the Institute, its National Member Organizations, or other organizations supporting the work.



FOREWORD

During the last decade greenhouse gas exchange between soil and atmosphere has been considered to be one of the most important problems of biogeochemistry. An accurate estimation of methane emissions is important for the future control of global climate change. In this context there is a high importance in estimating the contribution of methane in the territory of Russia, where vast areas are occupied by wetlands (e.g. West-Siberian Lowland). Wetlands are the most significant sources of methane emissions among natural ecosystems. However automorphic, and not over-moistened, territories are known to be sinks of methane. The extent of automorphic territories is quite considerable in Russia. Thus the net impact on the methane fluxes of the Russian territory has to be evaluated.

IIASA, the Russian Academy of Sciences and Russian governmental organizations initiated the Siberian Forest Study in 1992, with the overall objective of the Study to be:

- · identification of possible future sustainable development options of the Siberian forest sector (assess the biospheric role of Siberian Forests, and identify suitable strategies for sustainable development of forest resources, the industry, the infrastructure and the society);
- · identification of policies for the different options to be implemented by Russian and international agencies.

The first Phase of the Study was to build relevant and consistent databases for the upcoming analyses of the Siberian forest sector (Phase II). Nine cornerstone areas have been identified for the assessment analyses, namely further development of the databases, greenhouse gas balances, forest resources and forest utilization, biodiversity and landscapes, non-wood functions, environmental status, forest industry and markets, transportation infrastructure, and socio-economics.

An important component of the greenhouse gas balances' cornerstone is the emissions of methane. Thus, the work presented in this paper deals with analyses of the net annual average fluxes between soils and the atmosphere of the territory of Russia. This report was carried out by V.V. Zelenev from the Institute of Microbiology of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Moscow during his stay at IIASA in 1995.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Description of the Methane Fluxes Database	4
3.	Assumptions and Calculations	10
4.	Estimation of the Total Methane Flux from Natural Lands of Russia	11
5.	Summary	29
Refe	erences	30
App	pendix 1. List of Fields in the Methane Fluxes Database	37
App	bendix 2. Estimate of the Mean Annual Methane Fluxes from the Soils of Russia to the Atmosphere	40
App	pendix 3. Duration of Frostless Period for Various Locations in the Territory of Russia	43

ASSESSMENT OF THE AVERAGE ANNUAL METHANE FLUX FROM THE SOILS OF RUSSIA

V.V. Zelenev

1. INTRODUCTION

Methane emissions from soils to the atmosphere is a very important problem of biogeochemistry (Andreae and Shimel 1989; Bouwman 1990). Methane is involved in many chemical reactions connected with atmospheric gases (interactions with hydroxyl radicals and stratospheric chlorine, formation of troposphere ozone and carbon monoxide), and through its infrared properties, methane also has an influence on the Earth's energy balance (the greenhouse effect). Moreover, each molecule of methane (CH₄) is 21 times more radiatively active than one of carbon dioxide (CO₂) (WMO/UNEP 1990).

The methane atmospheric concentration has increased from a relatively stable level of 0.7 ppm to 1.7 ppm during the last 300 years. The rate of increase has accelerated during the last 100 years (Craig and Chou 1982; Stauffer et al. 1985). The concentration in the northern hemisphere has recently decreased from an average of 11.6 ± 0.2 parts per billion by volume (ppbv) yr⁻¹ during 1983-1991 to 1.8 ± 1.6 ppbv yr⁻¹ in 1992 (Dlugokencky et al. 1994). This decrease remains unexplained and emphasizes the need for further refinement of our understanding of the CH_4 budget.

The total annual flux of methane to the atmosphere is estimated to be between 374-714 Tg (Stewart et al. 1989). There has been an average increase in the atmospheric CH₄ concentration of 1% per year, according to tropospheric measurements available for the last 30 years (Cicerone and Oremland 1988; Blake and Rowland 1988; Khalil et al. 1989). However, there has been a decrease in the concentration during the last 5 years of this longer period (Blake and Rowland 1988; Dlugokencky 1994; Khalil et al. 1989).

The latest assessment of the annual CH₄ flux to the atmosphere is 540 Tg of which 115 Tg (21%) stems from natural wetlands (Cicerone and Oremland 1988) and 35 Tg originated from wetlands and tundra north of the 50° N parallel (Fung et al. 1991). The contribution of CH₄ from northern wetland ecosystems and tundra soils to the global emission to the atmosphere, as calculated on the basis of the world mire distribution, is estimated to be 18-22% (Matthews and Fung 1987; Aselmann and Crutzen 1989). On the same basis, Bartlett and Harriss (1993) estimated the global flux from northern, temperate and tropical wetlands to be 109 Tg yr⁻¹. Crutzen (1991) estimated the total flux from natural wetlands and rice fields to 215±50 Tg yr⁻¹.

The removal of CH₄ from the atmosphere through the reaction with hydroxyl radicals is estimated to be the largest CH₄ sink (420±80 Tg yr⁻¹; Crutzen 1991). Soil microbial oxidation (methane uptake) is estimated to account for 5 to 20% of the total global CH₄ removal (Bender and Conrad 1993; Cicerone and Oremland 1988; Koschorreck and Conrad 1993) or 10% (Duxbury and Mosier 1993) to 15% (Born et al. 1990). The rates of the CH₄ uptake by soils have been estimated in a wide range of environments including swamps (Amaral and Knowles 1994; Harriss et al. 1982), peat soils (Yavitt et al. 1990; Panikov et al. 1993), boreal forest soils (Whalen et al. 1992), temperate forest (Adamsen and King 1993; Crill 1991; Born et al. 1990; Steudler et al. 1989), temperate grassland (Mosier et al. 1991), agricultural soils (Mosier and Schimel 1991; Goulding et al. 1995). Soil is an important source of CH₄ emissions under anaerobic conditions, such as in natural wetlands or flooded lands but aerobic soil is an important sink where CH₄ is oxidized to CO₂. To a large extent, the methane emission rates

to the atmosphere are a function of the balance between methane production and consumption in the soil profile. Up to 90% of the methane produced in the anaerobic zone can be oxidized before it reaches the atmosphere (e.g. Fechner and Hemond 1992; King et al. 1990).

The rates of methanogenesis and methane emissions from the soils to the atmosphere are controlled by several factors: type and amount and quality of organic material in the soils (Kelly and Chynoweth 1981; Harriss and Sebacher 1981), status of the water table (defines the anaerobic conditions), the variable decomposition pathways occurring in different chemical environments (Bartlett et al. 1987), transport of CH₄ within plant tissues, soil temperature (Bartlett et al. 1987; Moore and Knowles 1987), vegetation (Sebacher et al. 1985), net ecosystem productivity (Whiting and Chanton 1993), and populations of methanogens and methanotrophs.

There are great uncertainties regarding the magnitude of CH₄ emissions from wetlands. Recent direct measurements undertaken mainly in the USA and Canada (Roulet et al. 1992; Harriss et al. 1993) revealed rather low intensity of CH₄ emissions from boreal and sub-Arctic wetlands. A global extrapolation of these flux studies provides a global flux of wetlands in the range of 10-35 Tg yr⁻¹, which is one order of magnitude lower than previous estimates of 100-200 Tg yr⁻¹ (Houghton et al. 1992). Attempts to provide a reliable estimate of the methane emissions from wetlands are limited by the high variability within and among sites and the diverse nature of wetlands.

To date, modeling efforts have mainly focused on single variables without fully integrating all the ecological aspects of the CH₄ dynamics. It is recommended that future attempts at predictive modeling should incorporate simple correlative approaches which use variables such as water table, temperature and the net ecosystem productivity (Bubier and Moore 1994). This modeling effort should not only concentrate on the relationship between CH₄ fluxes and environmental factors, but also on the separate processes of methane formation and consumption.

Whalen and Reeburgh (1988, 1992) demonstrated the strong control that microtopography can exert on methane emissions in the Alaskan tundra for scales of <1 m. For the Russian territory and particularly Siberia, where the greatest wetland areas of the Earth are situated, there are strong needs for similar investigations. According to recent results (Panikov et al. 1995) CH₄ emissions from wetlands of West Siberia varied from -20 to 240 mg CH₄/m²/day, depending on the environmental factors. A positive relationship was found between emission rates and soil temperature, ground water level and soil acidity. The highest methane emissions (average 234, with a standard deviation of 326 mg CH₄/m²/day) was observed in Vasyugan Lowland (West Siberia). These estimates are one order of magnitude higher than that reported for natural wetlands of Canada and Europe. Extrapolation of the regional results from West Siberia results in a conclusion that the West Siberian territory is to be regarded as a significant source of methane even at the global scale.

There are also large areas of automorphic soils in Russia, which have a methane-consuming ability as noted above. Thus, to avoid overestimation and reach a more precise evaluation of the total methane fluxes from the territory of Russia, the differences between soils in respect to methane emissions have to be carefully considered.

To understand the variability in the fluxes at regional scales is crucial for extrapolations of in situ measurements to the global scale. So far no studies have examined the patterns of methane emissions at these intermediate scales. To solve this problem more reliable and complete data on sources and sinks of methane are necessary. There is an urgent need for collection and systematization of available information concerning CH₄ fluxes from different sites measured by the chamber method, a micrometeorological and/or aircraft technique connected with corresponding environmental parameters.

Quantitative estimations of the methane fluxes from the soils of Russia to the atmosphere also require additional research because

- a) there is a lack of data on the methane fluxes directly measured on the territory of Russia, and
- b) the estimates published are based on various assumptions and approaches, and the results differ substantially.

Harriss et al. (1993) estimated the total CH₄ emissions from the European part of Russia, the wetlands of Fenno-Scandia and the West-Siberian lowland to 11.4 Tg yr⁻¹. Andronova and Karol (1993) estimated 11 Tg yr⁻¹ as the maximum emission from the wetlands of the former USSR.

An attempt to estimate the total methane fluxes from the natural lands of Russia was undertaken by Rozanov (1995). Wetlands and overmoistened ecosystems were related to soil units represented on the FAO/UNESCO (1974) *Soil Map of the World*. Each methane producing soil unit was specified with assigned methane fluxes. Estimation of the specific fluxes was made according to directly measured values of emission rates from sites corresponding to certain soil units. The methane emission rate from a certain soil unit was only linked to the length of the period of the biological activity (PBA) in a simple way, namely: permafrost or non-permafrost areas. It was assumed that the emission of methane could only take place during a period with biological activity. According to this approach, the total methane fluxes from the natural lands of Russia was found to be 39 Tg yr⁻¹, which corresponds to some 35% of the total average global methane emission from wetlands. However, no consumption of methane by automorphic soils was considered.

The work presented in this paper was dedicated to assess the annual methane fluxes between soils and atmosphere for the territory of Russia. The *Soil Map of the World* (FAO/UNESCO 1974), in the scale of 1:5 million, was used as the cartographic base for the calculations. The legend of the map consists of 106 soil units (SU) and was considered to be a comparatively comprehensive set of separate objects for characterizing methane fluxes. A generalization of all available data on methane fluxes—obtained by direct measurements on the territory of Russia, the territories of Europe and North America—was made in order to estimate the fluxes for the different soil units.

An additional objective of this work was to assess the annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia to the atmosphere on a basis of more precise estimation of the specific methane fluxes for different soil units, by taking into account their geographical location and specific environmental conditions. This was not done in the work by Rozanov (1995).

In this work an attempt was made to assess not only the size of the methane emissions, but also the magnitude of the methane consumption by the different soils of Russia.

This work has been carried out according to five distinct steps:

- 1) Information concerning soil types, areas and geographical coordinates of soil units was extracted from the FAO/UNESCO (1974) *Soil Map of the World*;
- 2) A database containing methane fluxes and corresponding environmental parameters collected from available literature was generated;
- 3) Representative methane fluxes for the majority of the temperate, boreal and tundra soil units were calculated using the database;
- 4) The period of biological activity (PBA) for the mapped units was estimated based on their geographical coordinates; and
- 5) The assessment of the total annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia was based on the different soils' capacities to produce or consume methane.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHANE FLUXES DATABASE

The generation of a methane fluxes database (MFDB) was encouraged by the existence of a great number of literature sources reporting numerous methane fluxes measurements from different terrestrial ecosystems. The number of measurements has progressively increased during the last years. In the literature, various ecosystems (predominantly wetlands) are characterized by methane flux rates accompanied by environmental parameters. Abundance, complexity, and high variability of data require a systematization of the available data. There is also a need to estimate the methane fluxes from ecosystems with no or poorly-determined data. In this approximation a database is of high value.

So far no MFDB has been developed where a sufficient set of data, representing the diversity of ecosystems accompanied by specific methane fluxes, is stored. The "Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research" (Olivier et al. 1994) was developed for atmospheric chemistry and climate modeling, and does not even deal with methane emissions from the terrestrial ecosystems (soils).

Thus, the aim of MFDB generation was, besides the collection of methane flux data from different sites of temperate, boreal, and tundra zones, to combine methane fluxes with specific environmental conditions. This structure provides a possibility to deal with the variations of the CH₄ emissions rates as dependent of the ecological properties of the local environment. The developed MFDB may also be used for model development as a source of experimental data for problem identification and model verification.

The MFDB developed contains more than 500 records describing methane emission rates from various soil and ecosystem types accompanied by a set of environmental parameters. The data represents field site measurements carried out in Alaska, Canada, USA, UK, Sweden, Finland, Germany and Russia. More than 40 different original sources have been used for the database. All available information concerning methane fluxes from soils directly measured on the territory of Russia is represented in the database. Sites of the measurements are situated in the European part (south boreal and tundra belts), West-Siberian and Kolyma lowlands, and Central Yakutia. The extent of the data obtained for Russia constitutes about 30% of the total database.

In relation to previous research (Rozanov 1995), we tried in this case to include not only methane emissions from wetlands, which are considered to be the main methane source among terrestrial ecosystems, but also consumption of methane by forests, grasslands and other automorphic soils. One of the goals for the MFDB generation was to characterize as many soil units as possible with respect to methane fluxes to the atmosphere.

In Table 1, the main fields of the database and their descriptions are listed. This set of fields was the basis for our further calculations. The complete list of the fields represented in the database is provided in Appendix 1. The database contains methane fluxes as well as various information characterizing not only the ecosystem or sampling site, but also its state and environmental conditions. Unfortunately, there are great differences between different sources in the sets of parameters represented, which leads to information gaps and empty fields for numerous records. Methane fluxes are given in every record, but they refer to different periods of measurements, which are reflected in special fields. The variation in the measurement period is up to several years in the database.

Table 1. List of the main fields of the methane fluxes database.

NN	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD DESCRIPTION
10 6 4 6 6 7 8 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 7 6 7	ID-N COUNTRY LOCATION PLACE SITUATION SITE SUBFORM PHYSGNMC-GROUP TYPE MICRORELIEF	Numeric Character Character Character Character Character Character Character	Record number Country code Administrative region name Local name of the sampling site Mezorelief element of the sampling site Ecosystem type of the sampling site Ecosystem subformation of the sampling site Upper level vegetation characteristics Mineral nutrition level of ecosystem Microrelief characteristics
	POSITION VEGETATION PERMAFROST LATITUDE LONGITUDE SOIL-UNIT	Character Character Logical Numeric Numeric	ief element of the sampling site vegetation and/or dominant species r of permafrost presence ical latitude of the sampling site [degresical longitude of the sampling site [degresite Soil Unit index according to the Leably Oversite Soil Napofthe World
18 22 22 23 25 25	ORG-S-U DATE START-DATE END-DATE MG-D-L	Character Date Date Date Numeric	$C \cdot H \cdot H \cdot P \cdot H$
23. 24. 25.	MG-D-H MG-D-AV OBS-N CITATION	Numeric Numeric Numeric Character	<pre>lmg CH4/m²/day] Maximal methane flux registered in the series of measurements [mg CH4/m²/day] Mean methane flux in the series of measurements [mg CH4/m²/day] Number of data in series Reference to the source of information</pre>

Each site represented in the MFDB is related to one of 106 soil units given in the legend of the FAO/UNESCO (1974) *Soil Map of the World* (SMW) according to the following:

- a) original soil unit (SU) stored in ORG-SU field of the database, if directly indicated in a source:
- b) in accordance with Table 2, listed for site properties in the database fields: ZONE, SITUATION, SITE, SUBFORM, PHYSGNMC-GROUP, TYPE, MICRORELIEF, COMMNTS, POSITION, VEGETATION, PERMAFROST;
- c) the SU is listed for a SMW polygon based on the site's geographical coordinates (the fields LATITUDE and LONGITUDE).

The latter approach (item c) seems to be fruitful to determine the site's linkage to a mapping unit of the SMW.

The result of the MFDB generation is presented in Tables 3 and 4. Weighted minimum, mean and maximum methane fluxes for each of the SUs, separated for permafrost and non-permafrost soils, were calculated for the individual SUs throughout the MFDB. For SUs identified as containing a specific major soil grouping and only indexed by a capital letter, the specific methane fluxes were calculated as weighted values among all the MFDB records matching the major soil grouping.

The weights used in the calculation were the following:

- a) the number of site flux measurements contained in the MFDB field OBS-N for a given record:
- b) the period of measurements expressed in days as contained in the MFDB fields END-DATE and START-DATE.

In order to validate the resulting numbers of records used for the calculations, each individual SU flux is presented as well as the sum of the observations. It should be noted that the less records forming the SU flux, the less reliable is the flux estimate.

Tables 3 and 4 show that 17 permafrost SUs and 31 non-permafrost SUs out of 75 SUs actually represent soils on the territory of Russia with specified methane fluxes. The majority of wet and overmoistened soils, which are considered to be the main sources of methane, were specified with methane fluxes. These soils are fluvisols, histosols, gleysols, and gleyic soil units of various Major Soil Groupings.

The methane-consuming SUs are specified in less extent with regard to fluxes due to a comparatively limited number of publications reporting soil methane consumption data. Investigations carried out in recent times are mostly in regions with sufficient moisture: forests and wetlands of temperate, boreal and tundra zones. There is a lack of similar data for the arid and semiarid regions of deserts, semi-deserts and steppes. However, all of these SUs with non-specified methane fluxes represent predominantly automorphic or dry soils which probably constitute methane-consuming properties or produce insignificant methane fluxes.

Table 2. Principal scheme for cross-references between sites and FAO/UNESCO (1974) soil units (after Rozanov 1995).

GROUP			SOIL UNII
Coniferous Coniferous Mixed Mixed Mixed Deciduous Broadleaf Sedge Coniferous	Wet Wet Woist Well-drained	Permafrost Taiga Taiga Taiga Taiga Temperate Temperate Temperate	J , Je Od, Gd Od Ox Oe, Ge Oe Ob Do, Ph Lg, Dg Dd, De, Pl Bd Bg Rg Rg Gm Gh Gx Rx, Bx, I, U, E
	iferous ed ed ed iduous idleaf iferous		Wet Wet Wet Moist Well-drained

Table 3. Methane fluxes from non-permafrost soils of Russia based on calculations from the methane fluxes database.

NA MAJOR SOIL SOIL UNITS WEATHANE FLUX OF								
CAMBISOLS B	NN						-	
2 Bd -2.08 -5.36 -0.48 7 7 3 Be -0.38 -0.81 -0.03 5 6 4 Bg 0.66 -1.85 15.70 34 615 5 PODZOLUVISOLS D 0.02 0.02 0.02 5 5 6 Dd 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 7 De 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 8 Dg 4.80 4.80 4.80 1 1 1 9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09				MEAN	MIN	MAX	RECORDS	OBSERVATIONS
3 Be -0.38 -0.81 -0.03 5 6 4 Bg 0.66 -1.85 15.70 34 615 5 PODZOLUVISOLS D 0.02 0.02 0.02 5 5 6 Dd 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 7 De 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 8 Dg 4.80 4.80 4.80 1 1 1 9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71	1	CAMBISOLS	В	0.51	-2.04	14.81	46	628
4 Bg 0.66 -1.85 15.70 34 615 5 PODZOLUVISOLS D 0.02 0.02 0.02 5 5 6 Dd 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 7 De 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 8 Dg 4.80 4.80 4.80 1 1 1 9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 1	2		Вd	-2.08	-5.36	-0.48	7	7
4 Bg 0.66 -1.85 15.70 34 615 5 PODZOLUVISOLS D 0.02 0.02 0.02 5 5 6 Dd 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 7 De 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 8 Dg 4.80 4.80 4.80 1 1 1 9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 1	3		Ве	-0.38	-0.81	-0.03	5	6
6 Dd 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 7 De 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 2 8 Dg 4.80 4.80 4.80 1 1 1 9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94			Bg	0.66	-1.85	15.70	34	615
7 De 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 8 Dg 4.80 4.80 4.80 1 1 9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 55 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04	5	PODZOLUVISOLS	D	0.02	0.02	0.02	5	5
7 De 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 8 Dg 4.80 4.80 4.80 1 1 9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 55 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04	6		Dd	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	2
9 GLEYSOLS G 21.87 9.40 97.31 122 4525 10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366			De	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	2
10 Gd 2.15 -1.34 20.59 20 467 11 Ge 27.42 20.11 36.54 19 2150 12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366	8		Dg	4.80	4.80	4.80	1	1
11	9	GLEYSOLS	G	21.87	9.40	97.31	122	4525
12 Gh 17.54 -0.12 100.26 17 1310 13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24	10		Gd	2.15	-1.34	20.59	20	467
13 Gm 28.37 0.02 379.15 11 543 14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24	11		Ge	27.42	20.11	36.54	19	2150
14 Gx 15.09 15.09 15.09 55 55 15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pp -3.02	12		Gh	17.54	-0.12	100.26	17	1310
15 FLUVISOLS J 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366	13		Gm	28.37	0.02	379.15	11	543
16 Je 27.67 12.71 44.40 15 284 17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Gx</td> <td>15.09</td> <td></td> <td>15.09</td> <td>55</td> <td>55</td>			Gx	15.09		15.09	55	55
17 LUVISOLS L -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 18 LO -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366	15	FLUVISOLS	J	27.67	12.71		15	284
18 Lo -0.49 -0.94 -0.04 17 30 19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 <td>16</td> <td></td> <td>Je</td> <td>27.67</td> <td>12.71</td> <td>44.40</td> <td>15</td> <td>284</td>	16		Je	27.67	12.71	44.40	15	284
19 GREYZEMS M 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366	17	LUVISOLS	$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{L}}$	-0.49	-0.94	-0.04	17	30
20 Mo 2.20 0.00 4.40 4 8 21 HISTOSOLS 0 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 0d 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 0e 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 0x 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366	18		Lo	-0.49	-0.94	-0.04	17	30
21 HISTOSOLS O 28.63 17.78 106.81 122 8116 22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366		GREYZEMS	M	2.20	0.00	4.40	4	8
22 Od 24.63 12.85 70.78 64 3940 23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366	20		Mo	2.20	0.00	4.40	4	8
23 Oe 32.62 22.61 142.15 49 4167 24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366		HISTOSOLS	0	28.63	17.78	106.81	122	8116
24 Ox 1.24 1.24 1.24 9 9 25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366			Od	24.63	12.85	70.78	64	3940
25 PODZOLS P 1.10 -0.34 55.04 51 599 26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366	23		0e	32.62	22.61	142.15	49	4167
26 Pg 1.73 -0.13 59.00 5 553 27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366			Ox		1.24	1.24	9	9
27 Ph -0.32 -1.28 0.00 1 1 28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366		PODZOLS	P		-0.34	55.04	51	599
28 Pl -2.85 -3.37 0.18 20 20 29 Po -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366			Pg	1.73		59.00		553
29 PO -3.02 -4.07 -2.88 25 25 30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366			Ph	-0.32	-1.28	0.00	1	1
30 REGOSOLS R -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366			Pl					
31 Re -0.48 -0.67 -0.30 9 1366		REGOSOLS	R		-0.67			
	31		Re	-0.48	-0.67	-0.30	9	1366

^{*} Number of database records used for the flux calculations

^{**} Number of methane flux measurements taken into account for the flux calculations

Table 4. Methane fluxes from permafrost soils of Russia based on calculations from the methane fluxes database.

NN	MAJOR SOIL	SOIL	SPECIFI			NUMBER*	NUMBER**
	GROUPINGS	UNITS	mg	$CH_4/m^2/da$	ay	OF	OF
			MEAN	MIN	MAX	RECORDS	OBSERVATIONS
32	GLEYSOLS	G	60.22	5.52	213.19	103	1850
33		Gd	-0.49	-1.38	0.00	4	133
34		Gh	54.47	7.96	262.80	26	451
35		Gm	123.05	-8.03	454.41	22	493
36		Gx	27.96	13.00	46.96	51	773
37	LITHOSOLS	I	10.13	-0.05	123.41	13	724
38	FLUVISOLS	J	0.56	-0.31	0.87	4	96
39		Jd	36.12	32.03	42.94	2	6
40		Je	-0.17	-0.98	0.00	2	90
41	HISTOSOLS	0	71.50	15.61	171.22	46	272
42		Od	11.73	0.40	29.13	19	170
43		0e	356.88	92.97	906.26	21	60
44		Ox	63.02	9.71	108.61	6	42
45	PODZOLS	P	4.60	-0.30	67.00	1	18
46		Pg	4.60	-0.30	67.00	1	18
47	REGOSOLS	R	119.00	34.00	266.00	1	44
48		Rx	119.00	34.00	266.00	1	44

^{*} Number of database records used for the flux calculations

Table 3 shows that among the non-permafrost SUs, the histosols and fluvisols have the highest methane-generating ability with methane fluxes of about 30 mg CH_4/m^2 and day. Non-permafrost gleysols are characterized by average fluxes with more than 20 mg CH_4/m^2 and day. Methane emissions from the examined gleyic units of cambisols, podzoluvisols, and podzols did not exceed 5 mg CH_4/m^2 and day. The other SUs of cambisols, podzoluvisols, luvisols, podzols and regosols have methane-consuming properties in the range of -5 to 0 mg CH_4/m^2 and day. Table 4 indicates that the methane fluxes for permafrost SUs are significantly higher than for non-permafrost SUs. The variability of methane fluxes between individual SUs of the same Major Soil Grouping is also higher for the permafrost SUs. The mean flux of permafrost gleysols varies between -0.5 to 123 mg CH_4/m^2 per day. For permafrost histosols the range is wider: 11-357 mg CH_4/m^2 and day. Very few data are available for permafrost fluvisols, podzols and regosols and show a significant variation in the fluxes between SUs of the Major Soil Groupings. It can also be concluded that more data are available for the non-permafrost regions than for the permafrost region.

^{**} Number of methane flux measurements taken into account for the flux calculations

3. ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS

Estimation of the total methane flux from the territory of Russia is based on a conventional approach, namely the integration of methane fluxes throughout the whole territory depending on an area with specific fluxes and the period of biological activity. Rozanov (1995) used the following expression:

$$Em = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot \int_{t}^{t_2} r_{i(t)} dt$$
 (1)

where

Em = accumulated methane flux;

a_i = area of the i-th mapping unit component;

n = number of mapping units;

t = time;

 $r_{i(t)}$ = specific methane flux from i-th mapping unit component depending on time;

 t_1 , t_2 = initial respectively final points of time, which are boundaries for the period of biological activity.

In fact this equation can be reduced to:

$$FLUX = \sum_{i,j} (A_{ij} * F_i * T_j)$$
 (2)

where

FLUX = total annual methane flux;

i = accumulation index that takes the values from all SUs with specified methane

fluxes (i.e. EXAMINED SU);

j = accumulation index that takes the values from all mapping units (polygons), where

the i-th SU is represented;

A_{ii} = area occupied by the i-th SU within the j-th mapping unit;

F_i = specified methane flux for the i-th SU;

 T_i = period of time during a year, while soils of the j-th mapping unit are active in terms

of methane fluxes (the period of biological activity).

Expression (2) was used to estimate annual methane fluxes to the atmosphere from the soils of Russia.

The following assumptions were made for the calculations:

- a) permafrost and non-permafrost soils represented by the same SU were considered as different soil types;
- b) duration of a period of biological activity was uniform for all locations (i.e. SU) within a specific mapping unit (polygon); and
- c) the specific methane fluxes of each SU were uniform during the PBA for all mapping units, where the SU was represented.

Thus, in the calculations there was a need to assess values of three variables in expression (2): i) set of areas; ii) specific methane fluxes; iii) duration of the period of biological activity.

The set of areas was taken from the FAO/UNESCO (1974) SMW. After the map processing, the areas were calculated for 80 soil and land units of the territory of Russia. These areas are presented in Appendix 2 together with their cumulative methane fluxes. For a number of SUs the specific methane fluxes are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

Finally, it was necessary to estimate values for PBA. In earlier work the length of the methane-production season was roughly supposed to be equal to 100 days for high latitudes and 150 days for middle latitudes (Matthews and Fung 1987), and the same values were also relevant to permafrost and non-permafrost territories respectively (Rozanov 1995).

For the non-methane production season, the methane fluxes from soils were set to zero. In spite of the fact, significant winter fluxes have been identified (Dise 1992). In global and regional calculations these fluxes were considered to be negligible in relation to the overall estimation errors. The majority of the methane flux measurements have been carried out during the spring-autumn period. Therefore the use of the methane production season allows us to extrapolate the experimental data for a whole year and by that receive annual estimates.

Thus, an attempt was made to carry out more realistic approximations of PBA than in earlier work. All of the territory of Russia has negative temperatures during the winter. Therefore the estimate on the average long-term duration of the frostless period was based on the geographical coordinates of a certain mapping unit. The definition of a frostless period is the period from the last frost in the spring to the first one in the autumn. Data on the frostless period duration for different places in Russia and adjacent countries were taken from the directory "Principal data on the climate of the USSR" (Osnovnye dannye po klimatu SSSR 1976). Based on the geographical coordinates, specific frostless periods were calculated for each of the 355 mapping units identified within the territory of Russia on the FAO/UNESCO (1974) SMW. Data for the interpolation of the frostless period for the SUs is presented in Appendix 3.

4. ESTIMATION OF THE TOTAL METHANE FLUX FROM NATURAL LANDS OF RUSSIA

The main goal of this study was to make assessments of the total methane flux from Russia's territory to the atmosphere. There are a number of reasons for updating the estimates by Rozanov (1995). First, additional data dealing with the specific methane fluxes from certain soil units are now available. In this study an attempt was made to detect the consumption of methane by various automorphic soils from the total fluxes generated by the wetlands. This attempt was made in order to estimate net fluxes. In this work a more refined approach to the PBA estimation was used. However, calculations were also made using the simple approach of distributing the daily fluxes over a year according to the period of biological activity as demonstrated by Matthews and Fung (1987) and Rozanov (1995).

Aggregated results for the methane fluxes estimations are presented in Table 5 for non-permafrost and permafrost soils, and for the total area of Russia. In this table the fluxes are related to the Major Soil Groupings and corresponding areas.

The distribution of the methane-generating and methane-consuming areas of the soils of the Russian territory (Tables 6, 7, 8, and 9) was calculated in the following way:

Table 5.1. Distribution of soils over areas and examined methane fluxes within the non-permafrost territory of Russia.

SOIL GROUP / LAND UNIT	SOIL GROUP / LAND UNIT CODE	UNEXAMINED AREA	EXAMINED AREA	EXAMINED METHANE FLUX	TOTAL AREA
		km²	km²	Tg yr ⁻¹	km²
ACRISOLS	A	672.1			672.1
CAMBISOLS	В	26765.9	226865.5	-0.032	253631.4
CHERNOZEMS	C	886121.1			886121.1
PODZOLUVISOLS	D		1519186.7	0.057	1519186.7
RENDZINAS	E	12427.1			12427.1
GLEYSOLS	G	18861.3	786080.3	1.420	804941.6
PHAEOZEMS	H	12997.1			12997.1
LITHOSOLS	I	515508.8			515508.8
FLUVISOLS	J	39168.8	184041.2	0.648	223210.0
KASTANOZEMS	K	347646.1			347646.1
LUVISOLS	L	214438.1	191319.1	-0.014	405757.2
GREYZEMS	M	60881.6	235792.9	0.068	296674.5
HISTOSOLS	0		688965.2	2.036	688965.2
PODZOLS	P		731196.9	-0.048	731196.9
SOLONETZ	S	178909.5			178909.5
ANDOSOLS	T	115116.8			115116.8
RANKERS	U	11781.0			11781.0
PLANOSOLS	M	17218.1			17218.1
XEROSOLS	X	50791.4			50791.4
SOLONCHAKS	Z	23927.8			23927.8
TOTAL AREA of SOIL	s:	2606879.4	4575760.5	4.134	7182639.9
DUNES, SHIFTING SA	NDS DS	32158.2			32158.2
GLASIER	GL	6355.6			6355.6
ROCKS	RK	20222.0			20222.0
WATER	WR	186959.7			186959.7
NO DATA	ND	237.6			237.6
TOTAL AREA of					
MISCELLANEOUS LAND	UNITS:	245933.1			245933.1
TOTAL AREA:		2852812.5	4575760.5	4.134	7428573.0

Table 5.2. Distribution of soils over areas and examined methane fluxes within the permafrost territory of Russia.

GROUP /				
D UNIT	UNEXAMINED AREA	EXAMINED AREA	EXAMINED METHANE FLUX	TOTAL AREA
	km²	km^2	Tg yr ⁻¹	km²
A				
В	2297357.7			2297357.7
C	47330.8			47330.8
D	1191854.0			1191854.0
E				
G	25992.3	1796534.6	3.106	1822526.9
H				
I		1574543.6	1.501	1574543.6
J		92980.3	0.067	92980.3
K	5978.6			5978.6
L	103.0			103.0
M	23012.5			23012.5
0		1079363.9	7.315	1079363.9
P	44666.9	70187.0	0.028	114853.9
R	193419.2	871719.6	7.912	1065138.8
T	14413.9			14413.9
U	179.5			179.5
W	33671.5			33671.5
X				
Z	769.7			769.7
	3888981.5	5485329.0	19.928	9374310.5
DS GL	25140 2			35149.3
	35149.3			35149.3
עועד				
TS:	35149.3			35149.3
	3924130.8	5485329.0	19.928	9409459.8
	A B C D E G H I J K L M O P R T U W X Z DS GL RK WR ND	A B CODE A CODE CODE A CODE A CODE CODE A CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE	A B 2297357.7 C 47330.8 D 1191854.0 E G 25992.3 I796534.6 H I 1574543.6 J 92980.3 K 5978.6 L 103.0 M 23012.5 O P 44666.9 P 44666.9 R 193419.2 T 14413.9 U 179.5 W 33671.5 X Z 769.7 3888981.5 DS GL RK 35149.3 WR ND TS: 35149.3	A B 2297357.7 C 47330.8 D 1191854.0 E G 25992.3 1796534.6 3.106 H I 1574543.6 1.501 92980.3 0.067 K 5978.6 L 103.0 M 23012.5 O P 44666.9 R 193419.2 T 14413.9 U 179.5 W 33671.5 X Z 769.7 DS GL RK 35149.3 TS: 35149.3

Table 5.3. Distribution of soils over areas and examined methane fluxes for the total territory of Russia.

SOIL GROUP /	SOIL GROUP /	UNEXAMINED	EXAMINED	EXAMINED	TOTAL
LAND UNIT	LAND UNIT	AREA	AREA	METHANE	AREA
	CODE			FLUX	
		km²	km ²	Tg yr ⁻¹	km^2
ACRISOLS	A	672.1			672.1
CAMBISOLS	В	2324123.6	226865.5	-0.03	2550989.1
CHERNOZEMS	С	933451.9			933451.9
PODZOLUVISOLS	D	1191854.0	1519186.7	0.06	2711040.7
RENDZINAS	E	12427.1			12427.1
GLEYSOLS	G	44853.6	2582614.9	4.53	2627468.5
PHAEOZEMS	H	12997.1			12997.1
LITHOSOLS	I	515508.8	1574543.6	1.50	2090052.4
FLUVISOLS	J	39168.8	277021.5	0.71	316190.3
KASTANOZEMS	K	353624.7			353624.7
LUVISOLS	${f L}$	214541.1	191319.1	-0.01	405860.2
GREYZEMS	M	83894.1	235792.9	0.07	319687.0
HISTOSOLS	0		1768329.1	9.35	1768329.1
PODZOLS	P	44666.9	801383.9	-0.02	846050.8
REGOSOLS	R	267066.0	884032.3	7.91	1151098.3
ANDOSOLS	T	129530.7			129530.7
RANKERS	U	11960.5			11960.5
PLANOSOLS	W	50889.6			50889.6
XEROSOLS	X	50791.4			50791.4
SOLONCHAKS	Z	24697.5			24697.5
TOTAL AREA of SOI	ILS:	6495860.9	10061089.5	24.06	16556950.4
DUNES, SHIFTING S	SANDS DS	32158.2			32158.2
GLASIER	GL	6355.6			6355.6
ROCKS	RK	55371.3			55371.3
WATER	WR	186959.7			186959.7
NO DATA	ND	237.6			237.6
TOTAL AREA of					
MISCELLANEOUS LAN	ID UNITS:	281082.4			281082.4
TOTAL AREA:		6776943.3	10061089.5	24.06	16838032.8

Table 6. Areas of methane-generating soils of Russia.

SOIL GROUPS	PROBABLE METHANE	ANE-GENERATING AREAS	NG AREAS	EXAMIN	EXAMINED AREAS		TOTAL AREA
	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{NON-PERMAFROST} & \text{PERMAFROST} \\ & \text{km}^2 & \text{km}^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{PERMAFROST} \\ \mathtt{km}^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{TOTAL} \\ \mathtt{km}^2 \end{array}$	NON-PERMAFROST PERMAFROST km²	$\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{PERMAFROST} \\ \mathtt{km}^2 \end{array}$	${\tt TOTAL}\\{\tt km}^2$	km^2
HISTOSOLS FLUVISOLS GLEYSOLS	39168.8 18861.3	25992.3	39168.8 44853.6	688965.2 184041.2 786080.3	1079363.9 34184.1 1507426.7	1768329.1 218225.3 2293507.0	1768329.1 257394.1 2338360.6
GLEYIC UNITS* OTHER UNITS**	276648.0 46187.5	173702.4 2057623.0	450350.4 2103810.5	395846.0 303878.8	67971.3 2448478.9	463817.3 2752357.7	914167.7 4856168.2
TOTAL AREA of SOILS:	380865.6	2257317.7	2638183.3	2358811.5	5137424.9	7496236.4 10134419	10134419.7
WATER	186959.7		186959.7				186959.7
TOTAL AREA:	567825.3	2257317.7	2825143.0	2358811.5	5137424.9	7496236.4	7496236.4 10321379.4

NON-PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING GLEYIC UNITS of Phaeozems, Luvisols, Greyzems, Solonetz, Solonchaks; PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING GLEYIC UNITS of Cambisols, Podzoluvisols, Greyzems, Solonetz; NON-PERMAFROST EXAMINED GLEYIC UNITS of Cambisols, Podzoluvisols, Podzols; PERMAFROST EXAMINED GLEYIC UNITS of Podzols.

NON-PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING SOIL UNITS of Gelic Cambisols, Gelic Regosols; PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING SOIL UNITS of Gelic Cambisols; NON-PERMAFROST EXAMINED SOIL UNITS of Cambisols, Orthic Greyzems, Podzols, PERMAFROST EXAMINED SOIL UNITS of Lithosols, Podzols, Gelic Regosols. * *

Table 7. Fractions of areas of methane-generating soils of the total area of Russia.

SOIL GROUPS	PROBAB	PROBABLE METHANE-G	E-GENERATING AREAS	AREAS	EXAMINED AREAS	AREAS		TOTAL AREA
	NON-PE	RMAFROST I	NON-PERMAFROST PERMAFROST %	TOTAL %	NON-PERMAFROST	PERMAFROST %	TOTAL %	0/0
HISTOSOLS		0 23		0 23	4.09	6.41	10.50	10.50
GLEYSOLS		0.11	0.15	0.27	4.67	8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13.62	13.89
GLEYIC UNITS*		1.64	1.03	2.67	2.35	0.40	2.75	5.43
OTHER UNITS **		0.27	12.22	12.49	1.80	14.54	16.35	28.84
TOTAL AREA of SOILS: 2.26	SOILS:	2.26	13.41	15.67	14.01	30.51	44.52	60.19
WATER		1.11		1.11				1.11
TOTAL AREA:		3.37	13.41	16.78	14.01	30.51	44.52	61.30

NON-PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING GLEYIC UNITS of Phaeozems, Luvisols, Greyzems, Solonetz, Solonchaks; PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING GLEYIC UNITS of Cambisols, Podzoluvisols, Greyzems, Solonetz; NON-PERMAFROST EXAMINED GLEYIC UNITS of Cambisols, Podzoluvisols, Podzols; PERMAFROST EXAMINED GLEYIC UNITS of Podzols.

NON-PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING SOIL UNITS of Gelic Cambisols, Gelic Regosols; PERMAFROST PROBABLE METHANE-GENERATING SOIL UNITS of Gelic Cambisols; NON-PERMAFROST EXAMINED SOIL UNITS of Cambisols, Orthic Greyzems, Podzols; PERMAFROST EXAMINED SOIL UNITS of Lithosols, Podzols, Gelic Regosols. * *

Table 8. Area of methane-consuming soils of Russia.

SOIL GROUPS	PROBABLE MET	METHANE-CONSUMING	ING AREAS	EXAMINED	NED AREAS		TOTAL AREA
Ż	NON-PERMAFROST km²	T PERMAFROST km²	T TOTAL km²	${\tt NON-PERMAFROST}\\ {\tt km}^2$	PERMAFROST km²	T TOTAL km²	km^2
ACRISOLS CAMBISOLS	672.1	70.	672	169551.8		169551.8	544
CHERNOZEMS PODZOLUVISOLS		47330.8 1119332.5		1413804.2		1413804.2	36.
KENDZINAS GLEYSOLS			7 4 6		289107.9	289107.9	2427. 9107.
FHAEOZEMS LITHOSOLS	129/4.2		12974.2				29/4. 5508.
FLUVISOLS	u	a			58796.2	58796.2	58796.
LUVISOLS	27173.3	103.0	27276.3	191319.1		191319.1	8595.
GREYZEMS		. ω	_				628.
MISIOSOES DODZOIS		9	44666 9	9961		9961	74628
REGOSOLS	33508.9	193419.2	226928.1	12312.7		12312.7	239240.8
SOLONETZ	152799.0		152799.0				52799.
ANDOSOLS	115116.8	13.	129530.7				29530.
RANKERS	11781.0	Н	11960.5				1960.
PLANOSOLS	17218.1	71.	50889.6				0889.
XEROSOLS	50791.4		50791.4				0791.
SOLONCHAKS	21559.6	7.69.7	22329.3				2329.
TOTAL AREA of SOILS:	2226013.8	1631663.8	3857677.6	2216949.0	347904.1	2564853.1	6422530.7
DUNES, SHIFTING SANDS	321		158.				158.
GLASIER	6355.6		6355.				6355.
ROCKS	20222.0	35149.3	55371.3				55371.3
NO DAIA	0.767						
TOTAL AREA OF MISC. LAND UNITS:	58973.4	35149.3	94122.7				94122.7
	!						
TOTAL AREA:	2284987.2	1666813.1	3951800.3	2216949.0	347904.1	2564853.1	6516653.4

Table 9. Fractions of areas of methane-consuming soils of the total area of Russia.

SOIL GROUPS	PROBABLE METHAN	METHANE-CONSUMING AREAS	AREAS	EXAMINED AREAS	S	TOTAL AREA
	NON-PERMAFROST %	PERMAFROST %	TOTAL %	NON-PERMAFROST PERMAFROST %	OST TOTAL	9/0
ACRISOLS CAMBISOLS	0.004	0.975	0.004	1.007	1.007	0.004
CHERNOZEMS PODZOLUVISOLS RENDZINAS	3.263 0.074	64	. 64	8.396	8.396	. 04 40.
GLEYSOLS PHAEOZEMS	.07		.07	1.717	1.717	.71 .07
LITHOSOLS FIIVISOLS	3.062		.06	0.349	0.349	.06
KASTANOZEMS LUVI SOLS	2.065	.03	.10		13	10.
GREYZEMS		0.045	0.045	55	55	0.4
REGOSOLS	0.199	.14	.34	0.073	0.073	42
SOLONETE	0.907	.08	.76			. 76
RANKERS	0.070	0.001	.07			.07
PLANOSOLS XEROSOLS	0.102	. 20	30			.30
SOLONCHAKS	0.128	0.005	.13			.13
TOTAL AREA of	SOILS: 13.220	069.6	22.911	13.166 2.066	15.232	38.143
DUNES, SHIFTING GLASIER ROCKS NO DATA	G SANDS 0.191 0.038 0.120 0.001	0.209	0.191 0.038 0.329 0.001			0.191 0.038 0.329 0.001
TOTAL AREA Of MISC. LAND UNITS:	TS: 0.350	0.209	0.559			0.559
TOTAL AREA:	13.570	9.899	23.469	13.166 2.066	15.232	38.702

- a) If a certain SU was specified with positive or negative methane fluxes the area occupied by the SU was related as methane-generating, respectively methane-consuming. Thus, in Tables 6 and 7, areas occupied by methane-generating SUs were unified in the section Examined Areas as areas of histosols, fluvisols, gleysols, gleyic units of Major Soil Groupings, or other units. The gleyic units and other units are listed as footnotes to the tables.
- b) For SUs not specified with any methane fluxes the areas occupied by SUs belonging to histosols, fluvisols, gleysols or gleyic units of Major Soil Groupings were allocated to the section Probable Methane-Generating Areas. Areas occupied by other SUs with unknown methane fluxes were allocated to the section Probable Methane-Consuming Areas.

An attempt was also made to estimate the range of the total annual methane fluxes based on the minimum and maximum methane fluxes for individual SUs (Tables 3 and 4) and the corresponding duration of the period of biological activity.

In order to estimate an extreme lower limit for the total annual methane fluxes the following algorithm was used:

$$\begin{aligned} F_i &= min(F_i); \\ T_j &= min(T_j), & \text{if } min(F_i) > 0; \\ T_j &= max(T_j), & \text{if } min(F_i) < 0; \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where F_i is the minimum value of the methane flux for the i-th SU, but the selection of the PBA value (T_i) depends on the sign of min (F_i) in order to come up with a minimal estimate.

A similar approach was taken for estimation of the extreme upper limit of total annual methane fluxes:

$$\begin{split} F_i &= max(F_i); \\ T_j &= max(T_j), & \text{if } max(F_i) > 0; \\ T_j &= min(T_j), & \text{if } max(F_i) < 0. \end{split} \tag{4}$$

Aggregated lower and upper estimation results are presented in Tables 10 and 11.

The estimated total net annual methane flux from the soils of Russia to the atmosphere are in the range of 5-110 Tg yr⁻¹. However, this range should be considered as very coarse, because the minimum and maximum values for the methane fluxes for various SUs differ greatly. In some cases minimum and maximum estimates have different signs, meaning that the same SU plays opposite roles in the two extreme estimates: methane-generating or methane-consuming.

Table 10. Lower estimate of the annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia.

	NON-PER	NON-PERMAFROST	PERM	PERMAFROST	Ĥ	TOTAL
	$\overline{\text{FLUX}}$	AREA km²	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²
CONSUMPTION	-0.33 1.49	3289679.3 1286081.2	-0.09	2043971.8 3441357.2	-0.42 5.88	5333651.1 4727438.4
TOTAL EXAMINED	1.16	4575760.5	4.30	5485329.0	5.46	10061089.5
UNEXAMINED AREA		2852812.5		3924130.8		6776943.3
TOTAL AREA		7428573.0		9409459.8		16838032.8
CONSUMING AREA (%)		71.89		37.26		53.01
OI EAMILING AREA (%)		28.11		62.74		46.99
CONSUMING AREA (%)		44.28		21.72		31.68
EMITTING AREA (%)		17.31		36.57		28.08
EXAMINED AREA of TOTAL AREA (%)		61.60		58.30		59.75

Table 11. Upper estimate of the annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia.

			NON-PER	NON-PERMAFROST	PERM	PERMAFROST		TOTAL
			FLUX Tg ${ m yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²	${ t FLUX} { t Tg} { t yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²	${ m FLUX} \ { m Tg} \ { m yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²
CONSUMPTION			-0.11 22.49	2207894.9 2367865.6	0.00	347904.1 5137424.9	-0.11 109.84	2555799.0 7505290.5
TOTAL EXAMINED			22.37	4575760.5	87.35	5485329.0	109.72	10061089.5
UNEXAMINED AREA				2852812.5		3924130.8		6776943.3
TOTAL AREA				7428573.0		9409459.8		16838032.8
CONSUMING AREA	%	7.00 A COLUMN A COLUM		48.25		6.34		25.40
EMITTING AREA	<u>%</u>	OI EAAMINED AKEA		51.75		93.66		74.60
CONSUMING AREA	%	4 TKHOH 40		29.72		3.70		15.18
EMITTING AREA	<u>%</u>	OL IOIAL AREA		31.88		54.60		44.57
EXAMINED AREA Of TOTAL AREA	TOL	AL AREA (%)		61.60		58.30		59.75

The mean net annual methane flux from some 60% of the area of Russia (Tables 12-14) is estimated at 24 Tg yr⁻¹, which corresponds to previous estimates. It is in the middle of the reported range of 11 Tg yr⁻¹ (Andronova and Karol 1993; Harriss et al. 1993) and 39 Tg yr⁻¹ (Rozanov 1995). Moreover, it is in accordance with the estimates of 25-65 Tg yr⁻¹ for territories to the north of the 45° N parallel (Matthews and Fung 1987; Aselmann and Crutzen 1989; Harriss et al. 1993; Fung et al. 1991; Bartlett and Harriss 1993). Nevertheless, the mean estimate of 24 Tg yr⁻¹ may be a high estimate due to the fact that some of the site-specific methane fluxes used in the calculations are very high. For example, the methane fluxes calculated for permafrost of eutric histosols (Oe) and gelic regosols (Rx) are equal to 357 respectively 119 mg CH₄/m²/day (Tables 3 and 4). These values are considerably higher than the methane fluxes for the majority of the other SUs. The fluxes from the rest of the 40% of Russia's territory do not seem to change the presented estimates significantly. However, the extent of the unexamined automorphic soils constitutes 23.5% of Russia's territory, which is more than half of the total unexamined territory. These soils are likely to have a methane consumption ability of approximately 1 mg/m²/day, which may reduce the total methane fluxes by at least 0.6 Tg yr⁻¹.

Some 15% of the area of Russia is estimated to have an average methane consumption of -0.17 Tg yr⁻¹. The lower and upper limits of the negative fluxes for these soils are estimated to be -0.11 Tg yr⁻¹ and -0.42 Tg yr⁻¹ respectively. The examined area of methane-consuming soils is less than half of the area of probable methane-consuming soils of Russia (Table 9). This is the reason for a possible underestimate of the annual methane consumption.

Estimations of the specific methane fluxes for some SUs appear to be uncertain (calculated on the basis of less than 3 records of MFDB). After elimination of these SUs with likely uncertain specific methane fluxes, new mean, lower and upper estimates were calculated for the reduced SU list (Tables 15, 16, and 17). The extent of the examined area dropped from 60% to 44% by this deduction. In this case, the mean annual estimate of the total net methane flux is 16 Tg yr⁻¹. The lower and upper limits for the total net methane fluxes for 44% of the Russian territory are reduced to 3 Tg yr⁻¹ and 87 Tg yr⁻¹.

To illustrate the importance of an exact estimation of the PBA a calculation was made with a simple approximation of PBA according to Matthews and Fung (1987) and Rozanov (1995) (Table 18). In this case the mean total net annual methane fluxes from 60% of the area of Russia is estimated to be 33 Tg yr⁻¹. This is close to the Rozanov (1995) estimate of 39 Tg yr⁻¹, based on a similar assumption concerning the PBA estimation. The difference between the two estimates can probably be explained by the differences in the SU specific methane fluxes estimates derived from the data set employed in the current report.

The comparison of the results based on different approaches shows the importance of an accurate estimate of the length of the season for methane production and its influence on the total methane fluxes estimate. Thus, for 60% of the territory of Russia, our calculations show a net flux of methane of 24 Tg yr¹ if a more detailed PBA estimate is used. The net flux estimate becomes almost 50% higher if a simplified PBA approach is used.

Table 12. Assessment of average annual methane emission from examined soils of Russia.

SOIL GROUPS	NON-PERMAFROST	AFROST	PERMA	PERMAFROST	ALL TERRITORY	RITORY
	$AREA$ km^2	FLUX $ m Tg~yr^{-1}$	$AREA$ km^2	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²	${ m FLUX} \ { m Tg} \ { m yr}^{-1}$
HISTOSOLS	688965.2	2.036	1079363.9	7.315	1768329.1	9.351
FLUVISOLS	184041.2	0.648	34184.1	0.068	218225.3	0.716
GLEYSOLS	786080.3	1.420	1507426.7	3.118	2293507.0	4.538
GLEYIC UNITS*	395846.0	0.105	67971.3	0.027	463817.3	0.132
OTHER UNITS **	303878.8	0.077	2448478.9	9.413	2752357.7	9.490
TOTAL AREA:	2358811.5	4.286	5137424.9 19.941	19.941	7496236.4	24.227

NON-PERMAFROST GLEYIC UNITS of Cambisols, Podzoluvisols, Podzols; PERMAFROST GLEYIC UNITS of Podzols.

Table 13. Assessment of average annual methane consumption by examined soils of Russia.

SOIL GROUPS	NON-PERMAFROST	AFROST	PERMA	PERMAFROST	ALL TERRITORY	LITORY
	$AREA$ km^2	$\mathtt{FLUX} \\ \mathtt{Tg} \ \mathrm{Yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²	FLUX Tg $ m yr^{-1}$	AREA km²	${ t FLUX} { t TG \ Yr^{-1}}$
CAMBISOLS PODZOLUVISOLS GLEYSOLS FLUVISOLS LUVISOLS PODZOLS REGOSOLS	169551.8 -0.037 1413804.2 0.000 191319.1 -0.014 429961.2 -0.101 12312.7 -0.001	-0.037 0.000 -0.014 -0.101	289107.9 -0.012 58796.2 -0.001	-0.012 -0.001	169551.8 1413804.2 289107.9 58796.2 191319.1 429961.2 12312.7	-0.037 -0.000 -0.012 -0.014 -0.101
TOTAL AREA:	2216949.0 -0.152	-0.152	347904.1 -0.013	-0.013	2564853.1	-0.166

^{**} NON-PERMAFROST Cambisols, Orthic Greyzems, Podzols; PERMAFROST Lithosols, Podzols, Gelic Regosols.

Table 14. Estimate of the mean annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia.

	NON-PE	NON-PERMAFROST	PERM?	PERMAFROST	OI	TOTAL
	$\overline{\text{FLUX}}$	$AREA$ km^2	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ \ {\tt yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²
CONSUMPTION	-0.15 4.29	2216949.0 2358811.5	-0.01 19.94	347904.1 5137424.9	-0.17 24.23	2564853.1 7496236.4
TOTAL EXAMINED	4.13	4575760.5	19.93	5485329.0	24.06	10061089.5
UNEXAMINED AREA		2852812.5		3924130.8		6776943.3
TOTAL AREA		7428573.0		9409459.8		16838032.8
CONSUMING AREA (%)		48.45		6.34		25.49
ot EXAMINED AREM (%)	KEA	51.55		93.66		74.51
CONSUMING AREA (%)		29.84		3.70		15.23
EMITTING AREA (%)		31.75		54.60		44.52
EXAMINED AREA Of TOTAL AREA (%)		61.60		58.30		59.75

Table 15. Estimate of the mean annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia (for reduced set of soil units with specified methane flux).

	NON-PERMAFROST	AFROST	PERMAFROST	FROST	TOTAL	AL
	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	AREA	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ Y{\tt r}^{-1}$	AREA	${ t FLUX} \ { t Tg} \ { t yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²
CONSUMPTION EMISSION	-0.15 4.23	654692.5 2253429.0	-0.01 11.93	289107.9 4169257.3	-0.16 16.16	943800.4
TOTAL EXAMINED	4.08	2908121.5	11.92	4458365.2	16.00	7366486.7
UNEXAMINED AREA		4520451.5		4951094.6		9471546.1
TOTAL AREA		7428573.0		9409459.8		16838032.8
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	22.51		6.48		12.81
EMITTING AREA (%)	AKEA	77.49		93.52		87.19
CONSUMING AREA (%)		8.81		3.07		5.61
EMITTING AREA (%)		30.33		44.31		38.14
EXAMINED AREA Of TOTAL AREA (%)		39.15		47.38		43.75

Table 16. Lower estimate of the annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia (for reduced set of soil units with specified methane flux).

	NON	NON-PERMAFROST		PERM	PERMAFROST	Ţ	TOTAL
	$ {\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt yr}^{-1} $	$egin{array}{cccc} old & ext{AREA} \ old & ext{km}^2 \end{array}$		${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	AREA	$\overline{\text{FLUX}}$	AREA
CONSUMPTION EMISSION	-0.30	0 1727422.8 3 1180698.7		-0.09	1914988.6 2543376.6	-0.39	3642411.4 3724075.3
TOTAL EXAMINED	1.13	3 2908121	1.5	2.50	4458365.2	3.63	7366486.7
UNEXAMINED AREA		4520451.	1.5		4951094.6		9471546.1
TOTAL AREA		7428573.0	3.0		9409459.8		16838032.8
CONSUMING AREA (%)		25	59.40		42.95		49.45
EMITTING AREA (%)	il AKEA	40	40.60		57.05		50.55
CONSUMING AREA (%)	- C-	23	23.25		20.35		21.63
EMITTING AREA (%)	ALEA A	15	15.89		27.03		22.12
EXAMINED AREA of TOTAL AREA (%)	25	35	39.15		47.38		43.75

Table 17. Upper estimate of the annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia (for reduced set of soil units with specified methane flux).

	NON-PERMAFROST	AFROST	PERM	PERMAFROST	OI	TOTAL
	$\texttt{FLUX} \\ \texttt{Tg} \ \texttt{yr}^{-1}$	$AREA$ km^2	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	$AREA$ km^2	$\stackrel{\texttt{FLUX}}{\texttt{Tg}} \texttt{Yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²
CONSUMPTION	-0.11 22.43	645638.4 2262483.1	0.00	289107.9 4169257.3	-0.11 84.06	934746.3 6431740.4
TOTAL EXAMINED	22.32	2908121.5	61.63	4458365.2	83.94	7366486.7
UNEXAMINED AREA		4520451.5		4951094.6		9471546.1
TOTAL AREA		7428573.0		9409459.8		16838032.8
CONSUMING AREA (%)	, F	22.20		6.48		12.69
OL EARMINED AKEA (%)	AKEA	77.80		93.52		87.31
CONSUMING AREA (%)	Ę	8.69		3.07		5.55
EMITTING AREA (%)	4 -7	30.46		44.31		38.20
EXAMINED AREA of TOTAL AREA (%)		39.15		47.38		43.75

Table 18. Estimate of the mean annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia (for simple approximation of the period of biological activity).

	Z	NON-PERMAFROST	IAFROST	PERM?	PERMAFROST	OI	TOTAL
	H L	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ {\tt Yr}^{-1}$	$AREA$ km^2	$\mathtt{FLUX} \\ \mathtt{Tg} \ \mathrm{Yr}^{-1}$	AREA	$ ext{FLUX}$	AREA km²
CONSUMPTION	0 1	-0.19 5.44	2216949.0 2358811.5	-0.02 27.87	347904.1 5137424.9	-0.21 33.31	2564853.1 7496236.4
TOTAL EXAMINED	S	5.24	4575760.5	27.86	5485329.0	33.10	10061089.5
UNEXAMINED AREA			2852812.5		3924130.8		6776943.3
TOTAL AREA			7428573.0		9409459.8		16838032.8
CONSUMING AREA (%)	£ 5		48.45		6.34		25.49
OL EARMINED AKEA (%)	EU AKEA		51.55		93.66		74.51
CONSUMING AREA (%)	ا ا د		29.84		3.70		15.23
EMITTING AREA (%)	AKEA		31.75		54.60		44.52
EXAMINED AREA Of TOTAL AREA (%)	0/0		61.60		58.30		59.75

5. SUMMARY

In order to assess the methane fluxes from the Russian soils to the atmosphere the following steps have been taken:

- 1) Information concerning soil types, areas, and coordinates for the soils of Russia was collected from the FAO/UNESCO (1974) *Soil Map of the World*.
- 2) A database, based on experiments described in the literature, was generated concerning methane fluxes and environmental parameters influencing the fluxes.
- 3) Representative methane fluxes for the majority of the temperate, boreal and tundra soils were calculated based on the database.
- 4) The period of biological activity (PBA) for the different soils was estimated based on their geographical location.
- 5) Based on the above information the total annual methane fluxes are estimated based on the different soil's capacities to produce or consume methane.

It can be concluded that there are still big uncertainties connected with the methane flux estimates for Russia due to the lack of data. The basic analyses carried out are based on site and soil type specific methane fluxes corresponding to some 60% of the land of Russia (44% methane-generating and 15% methane-consuming). However, the remaining unexamined 40% of the land of Russia, with missing site and soil type specific methane fluxes is constituted by soils of which 17% are methane-generating (of which 43% are significant sources) and 23% are probably methane-consuming soils. These soils will probably not significantly influence the presented overall estimate in the fluxes. Extreme lower and extreme upper estimates are produced for the 60% of the land with available site and soil specific methane fluxes. The estimated range is 5-110 Tg yr⁻¹. The mean net annual methane flux based on the same area is 24 Tg yr⁻¹, which is in the middle of earlier published estimates. Andronova and Karol (1993) and Harriss et al. (1993) estimated a net flux of 11 Tg yr⁻¹ and Rozanov (1995) estimated a flux of 39 Tg yr⁻¹. The 24 Tg yr⁻¹ estimate is including methane fluxes for some of the soil types with a limited number of direct site specific methane flux measurements. If these measurements are deleted from the analyses the mean net annual methane flux in Russia is reduced to 16 Tg yr-1.

The estimation of the length of the period of biological activity (PBA) is crucial for the estimates of the total fluxes. In the above estimate, based on 60% of the land area with site and soil type specific fluxes and a detailed estimate of the PBA based on the geographical coordinates of the different soil types, a mean net annual flux of 24 Tg yr⁻¹ is achieved. But if we employ PBA estimates on the more simple method used by Matthews and Fung (1987) and Rozanov (1995) the mean net annual flux estimate is 33 Tg yr⁻¹.

In spite of numerous attempts to find correlations between methane fluxes and ecological characteristics of different biomes the problem of regional extrapolation of sporadic field measurements still exists.

There are very few regions and ecosystems investigated by field measurements in comparison with the natural diversity. Thus, many soil units are not characterized by any measurements of the methane fluxes. The majority of the soil units with measurements represent automorphic soils and wetlands and wet soils are not sufficiently represented.

References¹

- Adamsen, A.P.S. and G.M. King. 1993. Methane consumption in temperate and subarctic forest soils: Rates, vertical zonation, and responses to water and nitrogen. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 59:485-490.
- Amaral J.A. and R. Knowles. 1994. Methane metabolism in a temperate swamp. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60:3945-3951.
- Andreae M.O. and D.S. Shimel. 1989. Exchange of Trace Gases Between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere. Chichester, UK: Wiley and Sons.
- Andronova, N.G. and I.L. Karol. 1993. The contribution of USSR sources to global methane emission. *Chemosphere* 26(1-4):111-126.
- Aselmann, I. and P.J. Crutzen. 1989. Global distribution of natural freshwater wetlands and rice paddies their net primary productivity, seasonality and possible methane emission. *J. Atmos. Chem.* 8:307-358.
- Atlas of the World. 1967. Second Edition. Moscow.
- Bartlett, K.B. and R.C. Harriss R.C. 1993. Review and assessment of methane emissions from wetlands. *Chemosphere* 26(1-4):261-320.
- Bartlett, K.B., D.S. Bartlett, R.C. Harriss, and D.I. Sebacher. 1987. Methane emission along a salt marsh salinity gradient. *Biogeochem.* 4:183-202.
- * Bartlett, K.B., P.M. Crill, R.L. Sass, R.C. Harriss, and N.B. Dise. 1992. Methane emissions from tundra environments in the Yukon-Kuskokwim delta, Alaska. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97(D15):16645-16660.
- Bender, M. and R. Conrad. 1993. Kinetics of methane oxidation on oxic soils. *Chemosphere* 26(1-4): 687-696.
- Blake, D.R. and F.S. Rowland. 1988. Continuing worldwide increase in tropospheric methane, 1978 to 1987. *Science* 239:1129-1131.
- Born, M., H. Dorr, and I. Levin. 1990. Methane concentration in aerated soils of the temperate zone. *Tellus* 42B:2-8.
- Bouwman, A.F. 1990. Soils and the Greenhouse Effect. Chichester, UK: Wiley and Sons.
- * Bowden, R.D., M.S. Castro, J.M. Melillo, P.A. Steudler, and J.D. Aber. 1993. Fluxes of greenhouse gases in a temperate forest following a simulated hurricane blowdown. *Biogeochemistry* 21:61-71.
- Bubier, J.L. and T.R. Moore. 1994. An ecological perspective on methane emissions from northern wetlands. *TREE* 9(12):460-464.

¹ * used as sources of information for the methane fluxes database.

- * Bubier, J.L., T.R. Moore, and N.T. Roulet. 1993. Methane emissions from wetlands in the midboreal region of Northern Ontario, Canada. *Ecology* 74(8):2240-2254.
- * Castro, M.S., P.A. Steudler, J.M. Melillo, J.D. Aber, and R.D. Bowden. 1995. Factors controlling atmospheric methane consumption by temperate forest soils. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 9(1):1-10.
- * Castro, M.S., P.A. Steudler, J.M. Melillo, J.D. Aber, and S. Millham. 1993. Exchange of N₂O and CH₄ between the atmosphere and soils in spruce-fir forests in the northeastern United States. *Biogeochemistry* 18:119-135.
- * Christensen, T.R. 1993. Methane emission from Arctic tundra. Biogeochemistry 21:117-139.
- Cicerone, R.J. and R.S. Oremland. 1988. Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2:299-327.
- * Clymo, R.S. and E.J.F. Reddaway. 1971. Productivity of Shagnum (bog-moss) and peat accumulation. *Hydrobiol*. 12:181-192.
- Craig, H. and C.C. Chou. 1982. Methane: The record in polar ice cores. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 9:1221-1224.
- Crill, P.M. 1991. Seasonal patterns of methane uptake and carbon dioxide release by a temperate woodland soil. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 5(4):319-334.
- * Crill, P.M., K.B. Bartlett, R.C. Harriss, E. Gorham, E.S. Verry, D.I. Sebacher, L. Madzar, and W. Sanner. 1988. Methane flux from Minnesota peatlands variability. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2(4):371-384.
- Crutzen, P.J. 1991. Methane's sinks and sources. Nature 350:380-381.
- Dise, N.B. 1992. Winter fluxes of methane from Minnesota peatlands. Biogeochem. 17:71-83.
- * Dise, N.B. 1993. Methane emissions from Minnesota peatlands: spatial and seasonal variability. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 7(1):123-142.
- Dlugokencky, E.J., K.A. Masaire, P.M. Lang, P.P. Tans, L.P. Steele, and E.G. Nisbet. 1994. A dramatic decrease in the growth rate of atmospheric methane in the Northern Hemisphere during 1992. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 21:45-48.
- * Dorr, H., L. Katruff, and I. Levin. 1993. Soil texture parametrization of the methane uptake in aerated soils. *Chemosphere* 26(1-4):697-713.
- Duxbury, J.M. and A.R. Mosier. 1993. Status and issues concerning agricultural emissions of greenhouse gases. Pages 229-258 in H.M. Kaiser and T.E. Drennen, eds. *Agricultural Dimensions of Global Climate Change*. Delray Beach, Florida: St. Lucie Press.
- FAO/UNESCO. 1974. Soil Map of the World 1:5,000,000. Volume 1. Paris: UNESCO, 125 pp.
- Fechner, E.J. and H.F. Hemond. 1992. Methane transport and oxidation in the unsaturated zone of a Sphagnum peatland. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 6(1):33-44.

- * Fedorov-Davydov, D.G. 1994. Biologicheskie protsessy pri zarastanii tundrovykh bolot (Biological processes during tundra mires' overgrowing). Oral presentation at IV All-Russia school "Ekologiya i pochvy" (Ecology and soils), Puskino, 20-22 October 1994 (in Russian).
- Fung, I., J. John, J. Lerner, E. Matthews, M. Prather, L.P. Steele, and P.J. Fraser. 1991. Three-dimensional model synthesis of the global methane cycle. *J. Geophys. Res.* 96(D7):13033-13065.
- Goulding, K.W.T., B.W. Hutsch, C.P. Webster, T.W. Willison, and D.S. Powlson. 1995. The effect of agriculture on methane oxidation in soil. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A.* 351:313-325.
- Harriss, R.C. and D.I. Sebacher. 1981. Methane flux in forested freshwater swamps of the southeastern United States. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 8:1002-1004.
- Harriss, R.C., D.I. Sebacher, and F.P. Day, Jr. 1982. Methane flux in the Great Dismal Swamp. *Nature* 297:673-674.
- * Harriss, R., K. Bartlett, S. Frolking, and P. Crill. 1993. Methane emissions from northern high-latitude wetlands. Pages 449-486 in R.S. Oremland, ed. *Biogeochemistry of Global Change: Radiatively Active Trace Gases*. New York: Chapman & Hall.
- Houghton, J.T., B.A. Callander, and S.K. Varney, Eds. 1992. Climate Change 1992: The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- * Keller, M., T.J. Goreau, S.C. Wofsy, W.A. Kaplan, and M.B. McElroy. 1983. Production of nitrous oxide and consumption of methane by forest soils. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 10:1156-1159.
- Kelly, C.A. and D.P. Chynoweth. 1981. The contribution of temperature and the input of organic matter in controlling rates of sediment methanogenesis. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 26:891-897.
- Khalil, M.A.K., R.A. Rasmussen, and M.J. Shearer. 1989. Trends of atmospheric methane during 1960s and 1970s. *J. Geophys. Res.* 94:18279-18288.
- King, G.M., P. Roslev, and H. Skovgaard. 1990. Distribution and rate of methane oxidation in sediments of the Florida Everglades. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56(9):2902-2911.
- * King, S.L., P.D. Quay, and J.M. Lansdown. 1989. The 13C/12C kinetic isotope effect for soil oxidation of methane at ambient atmospheric concentrations. *J. Geophys. Res.* 94:18273-18277.
- * Koschorreck, M. and R. Conrad. 1993. Oxidation of atmospheric methane in soil: Measurements in the field, in soil cores and in soil samples. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 7(1):109-121.
- * Lelieveld, J. and P.J. Crutzen. 1993. Methane emissions into the atmosphere: An overview. Pages 17-25 in A.R. van Amstel, ed. *Methane and Nitrous Oxide*. International IPCC Workshop Proceedings.
- * Lessard, R., P. Rochette, E. Topp, E. Pattey, R.L. Desjardins, and G. Beaumont. 1994. Methane and carbon dioxide fluxes from poorly drained adjacent cultivated and forest sites. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 74:139-146.
- Matthews, E. and I. Fung. 1987. Methane emission from natural wetlands: Global distribution, area, and environmental characteristics of sources. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 1(1):61-86.

- Moore, T.R. and R. Knowles. 1987. Methane and carbon dioxide evolution from subarctic fens. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 67:77-81.
- * Moore, T.R. and R. Knowles. 1990. Methane emissions from fen, bog and swamp peatlands in Quebec. *Biogeochem*. 11:45-61.
- * Moore, T.R., A. Heyes, S. Holland, W.R. Rouse, N.T. Roulet, and L. Klinger. 1991. Spatial and temporal variations of methane emissions in the Hudson Bay Lowlands. *EOS* 72:84.
- * Moore, T.R., N.T. Roulet, and R. Knowles. 1990. Spatial and temporal variations of methane flux from subarctic/northern boreal fens. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 4(1):29-46.
- * Morrissey, L.A. and G.P. Livingston. 1992. Methane emissions from Alaska Arctic tundra: An assessment of local spatial variability. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97(D15):16661-16670.
- Mosier, A.R. and D.S. Schimel. 1991. Influence of agricultural nitrogen on atmospheric methane and nitrous oxide. *Chem. Ind.* 23:874-877.
- Mosier, A., D. Schimel, D. Valentine, K. Bronson, and W. Parton. 1991. Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in native, fertilized and cultivated grasslands. *Nature* 350:330-332.
- * Nakayama, T., Y. Nojiri, and Y. Zeng. 1994. Measurements of methane flux from alases around Yakutsk, Eastern Siberia in 1993. Pages 40-44 in G. Inoue, ed. *Proceedings of the Second Symposium on the Joint Siberian Permafrost Studies Between Japan and Russia in 1993*. Tsukuba, Japan, 12-13 January 1994.
- Olivier, J.G.J., A.F. Bouwman, C.W.M. Van der Maas, and J.J.M. Berdowski. 1994. Emission database for global atmospheric research (EDGAR). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 31:93-106.
- * Osnovnye dannye po klimatu SSSR. 1976. VNII Gidrometeorologicheskoy informatsii Mirovoy tsentr dannykh (Principal data on the climate of the USSR). Obninsk: All-Union Research Institute for Hydrometeorological Information World Data Centre (in Russian).
- * Panikov, N. and V. Zelenev. 1993. Methane and carbon dioxide production and uptake in some boreal ecosystems of Russia. Pages 125-138 in *Carbon Cycling in Boreal Forests and Sub-Arctic Ecosystems*. Washington, D.C.: US EPA.
- Panikov, N.S., A.A. Titlyanova, M.V. Palejeva, A.M. Semenov, N.P. Mironycheva-Tokareva, V.I. Makarov, E.V. Dubinin, and S.P. Efremov. 1993. Methane emission from wetlands of southern part of West Siberia. *Dokl. RAS* 330(3):388-390.
- Panikov, N.S., M.V. Sizova, V.V. Zelenev, G.A. Makhov, A.V. Naumov, and I.M. Gadzhiev. 1995. Methane and carbon dioxide emission from some Vasyugan wetlands: Temporal and spatial variation of fluxes. *Ecological Chemistry* 4(1):13-23.
- * Peterjohn, W.T., J.M. Melillo, P.A. Steudler, K.M. Newkirk, F.P. Bowles, and J.D. Aber. 1994. Responses of trace gas fluxes and N availability to experimentally elevated soil temperatures. *Ecol. Appl.* 4:617-625.
- * Pulliam, W.M. 1993. Carbon dioxide and methane exports from a Southeastern floodplain swamp. *Ecological Monographs* 63(1):29-53.

- Roulet, N.T., R. Ash, and T.R. Moore. 1992. Low boreal wetlands as a source of atmospheric methane. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97(D4):3739-3749.
- Rozanov, A.B. 1995. Methane Emission from Forest and Agricultural Land in Russia. WP-95-31. Laxenburg, Austria: International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.
- * Samarkin, V.A., D.G. Fedorov-Davydov, M.S. Vecherskaya, and E.M. Rivkina. 1994. CO₂ and CH₄ emissions on Cryosols and subsoil permafrost and possible global climate changes. Pages 55-71 in R. Lal, J.M. Kimble, and E. Levine, eds. *Soil Processes and Greenhouse Gas Emissions*. Lincoln, Nebraska: US National Soil Survey Center.
- Sebacher, D.I., R.C. Harriss, and K.B. Bartlett. 1985. Methane emissions to the atmosphere through aquatic plants. *J. Environ. Qual.* 14:40-46.
- * Sebacher, D.I., R.C. Harriss, K.B. Bartlett, S.M. Sebacher, and S.S. Grice. 1986. Atmospheric methane sources: Alaskan tundra bogs, an alpine fen, and a subarctic boreal marsh. *Tellus* 38B:1-10.
- * Slobodkin, A.I., N.S. Panikov, and G.A. Zavarzin. 1992. Microorganism methane formation and consumption in tundra and middle taiga bogs. *Mikrobiologia* 61(4):683-691.
- Stauffer, B., G. Fischer, A. Neftel, and H. Oeschger. 1985. Increase of atmospheric methane recorded in Antarctic ice core. *Science* 229:1386-1388.
- Steudler, P.A., R.D. Bowden, J.M. Melillo, and J.D. Aber. 1989. Influence of nitrogen fertilization on methane uptake in temperate forest soils. *Nature* 341:314-316.
- Stewart, J.W.B., I. Aselmann, A.F. Bouwman, and R.L. Desjardins. 1989. Extrapolation of flux measurements to regional and global scales. Pages 155-174 in *Exchange of Trace Gases Between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere*. New York: Wiley and Sons, New York.
- * Svensson, B.H. and T. Rosswall. 1984. In situ methane production from acid peat in plant communities with different moisture regimes in subarctic mire. *Oikos*. 43:341-350.
- * Verma, S.B., F.G. Ullman, D. Billesbach, R.J. Clement, J. Kim, and E.S. Verry. 1992. Eddy correlation measurements of methane flux in a northern peatland ecosystem. *Boundary Layer Meteorol*. 58(3):289-304.
- * Vitt, D.H., S. Bayley, T. Jin, L. Halsey, B. Parker, and R. Craik. 1990. Methane and Carbon Dioxide Production from Wetlands in Boreal Alberta. Report on contract 90-0270, Alberta Environment, Alberta, Canada.
- * Watson, R.T., F. Meira, E. Sanhuez, and A. Janetos. 1992. Greenhouse gases: Sources and sinks and aerosols. Pages 25-46 in J.T. Houghton, B.A. Callander, and S.K. Varney, eds. *Climate Change 1992: The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Whalen, S.C. and W.S. Reeburgh. 1988. A methane flux time series for tundra environments. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2(4):399-409.
- * Whalen, S.C. and W.S. Reeburgh. 1990. A methane flux transect along the trans-Alaska pipeline haul road. *Tellus* 42B:237-249.

- Whalen, S.C. and W.S. Reeburgh. 1992. Interannual variations in tundra methane emissions. A 4-year time series at fixed sites. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 6(2):139-159.
- Whalen, S.C., W.S. Reeburgh, and V.A. Barber. 1992. Oxidation of methane in boreal forest soils: A comparison of seven measures. *Biogeochemistry* 16:181-211.
- * Whalen, S.C., W.S. Reeburgh, and K. Kizer. 1991. Methane consumption and emission by taiga. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 5(3):261-273.
- Whiting, G.J. and J.P. Chanton. 1993. Primary production control of methane emission from wetlands. *Nature* 364:794-795.
- WMO/UNEP. 1990. *Scientific Assessment of Climate Change*. Geneva: Intergovernment Panel on Climate Change.
- * Yarrington, M.R. and D.D. Wynn-Williams. 1985. Methanogenesis and anaerobic microbiology of wet moss community at Signy Island. Pages 134-139 in W.R. Siegfried, P.R. Condy P.R., and R.M. Laws, eds. *Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Yavitt, J.B., D.M. Downey, G.E. Lang, and A.J. Sexstone. 1990. Methane consumption in two temperate forest soils. *Biogeochemistry* 9:39-52.
- * Yavitt, J.B., R.K. Wieder, and G.E. Lang. 1993. CO₂ and CH₄ dynamics of a Sphagnum dominated peatland in west Virginia. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 7(2):259-274.

APPENDIX 1. List of fields in the methane fluxes database.

NN	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD DESCRIPTION
110 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	ID-N ORIG-NUM COUNTRY LOCATION ZONE PLACE SITUATION SITE SUBFORM PHYSGNMC-GROUP	Numeric Character Character Character Character Character Character Character Character	Record number Site code as identified in source of information Country code Administrative region name Natural zone/belt name Local name of the sampling site Mezorelief element of the sampling site Ecosystem type of the sampling site Ecosystem subformation of the sampling site Upper level vegetation characteristics Mineral nutrition level of ecosystem
111111 11122 284401 110000	COMMUNICATION VEGETATION PERMAFROST PERDEPTH LATITUDE LONGITUDE ANN-PRCPTN ANN-EVPTRPN FROST-FREE	Character Character Character Logical Numeric Numeric Numeric Numeric	Peculiar site properties Microrelief element of the sampling site Microrelief element of the sampling site Type of vegetation and/or dominant species Indicator of permafrost presence Mean thickness of thawed layer for the period of measurements [cm] (for sites on permafrost) Geographical latitude of the sampling site [degrees.minutes] Annual precipitation [mm] Annual evaporation [mm] Duration of the frostless period [d]
23 24 25 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	SOIL-UNIT ORG-S-U DATE START-DATE END-DATE T-AIR PH EH	Character Character Date Date Date Numeric Numeric	Sampling site Soil Unit index according to the Legend of the FAO/UNESCO (1974) Soil Map of the World Sampling site original soil name as indicated in source of information according to classification used Date of measurement Initial date of the series of measurements Final date of the series of measurements Mean air temperature for the period of measurements [Celsius degrees] pH of the soil [mV]

Appendix 1. (continued)

NN	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD DESCRIPTION
31.	T-SOIL	Numeric	Mean soil temperature for the period of measurements
32.	T-S-DEPTH T-S-LOW	Numeric Numeric	Depth of soil temperature measurement [cm] Minimal soil temperature registered for the period
34.	T-S-HIGH	Numeric	Maximal soil temperature registered for the period
35.	SOIL-MOIST GR-W-DEPTH	Numeric	or measurements (cersius degrees) Moisture of the soil [% of oven dried soil] Denth of the around water level [cm]
337.	SOIL-DEPTH MG-H-L	Numeric Numeric	the soil profile [in the first registered]
39.	mgC-h-l	Numeric	<pre>lmg CH4/m²/hour] Minimum carbon flux registered in the series of measurements [mg C/m²/hour]</pre>
40.	H-H-BM	Numeric	Maximum methods I lux registered in the series of measurements
41.	mgC-h-h	Numeric	Maximum carbon flux registered in the series of measurements fra $\mathbb{C}(M^2/h_{\mathrm{Dir}})$
42.	MG-H-AV	Numeric	Mean methane flux in the series of measurements [mg $CH_4/m^2/day$]
443.	mgC-h-av MG-H-STD	Numeric Numeric	Mean carbon flux in the series of measurements [mg $C/m^2/day$] Standard deviation of methane flux [mg $CH./m^2/day$]
5	mgC-h-std	Numeric	Standard deviation of carbon flux [mg C/m²/day]
46.	MG-D-L	Numeric	Minimum methane flux registered in the series of measurements
47.	mgC-d-1	Numeric	Minimum carbon flux registered in the series of measurements [mq C/m²/dav]
48.	MG-D-H	Numeric	Maximum methars Maximum methars [mg/CH./m²/dav]
49.	mgC-d-h	Numeric	Maximum carbon flux registered in the series of measurements [mq $\mathbb{C}/m^2/\text{dav}$]
50.	MG-D-AV MG-D-SE	Numeric Numeric	Mean methane flux in the series of measurements [mg CH4/m²/day] Mean error of methane flux [mg CH./m²/day]
52.	mgC-d-av	Numeric	Mean value of carbon flux [mg C/m²/day]
53.	MG-D-STD	Numeric	Standard deviation of methane flux [mg $CH_4/m^2/day$]
04.	mgc-a-sta	Numeric	standard deviation of carbon flux [mg c/m-/day]

Appendix 1. (continued)

NN	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD DESCRIPTION
555. 559. 560. 661.	MG-D-MED mgC-d-med G-YR gC-Yr G-YR-C-YR G-YR-STD gC-Yr-std OBS-N COMMENTS	Numeric Numeric Numeric Numeric Numeric Numeric Numeric Character	Median value of the series of measurements [mg CH ₄ /m²/day] Median value of the series of measurements [mg C/m²/day] Mean value of methane flux [g CH ₄ /m²/yr] Mean value of carbon flux [g C/m²/yr] Standard deviation of methane flux [g CH ₄ /m²/yr] Standard deviation of carbon flux [g C/m²/yr] Number of data in series Record comments Reference to the source of information

COMMENTS:

There are many fields in the database which represent the same parameters expressed in different units of measurement; it was made to store original values of parameters as given in a source of information in order to avoid errors during input and for consequent control of the data; for further calculations similar parameters were reduced to the uniform units of measurement.

APPENDIX 2. Estimate of the mean annual methane fluxes from the soils of Russia to the atmosphere.

thic Acrisols WBISOLS romic Cambisols stric Cambisols stric Cambisols mic Cambisols mic Cambisols mic Cambisols lic Chernozems Charozems Charozem	N	SOIL GROUP/UNIT (FAO/UNESCO, 1974)	SOIL GROUP/UNIT	NON-PERMAFROST	AFROST	PERMAFROST	FROST	TOTAL TERRITORY O	AL OF RUSSIA
Orthic Acrisols CAMBISOLS CAMBISOLS CAMBISOLS CAMBISOLS Dystric Cambisols Entric Cambisols Gleyic Cambisols Calcic Cambisols CHERNOZEMS CHER			1	$AREA km^2$	FLUX Tg $ m Yr^{-1}$	AREA	FLUX Tg Yr^{-1}	AREA km²	${ t FLUX} { t Tg} { t yr}^{-1}$
2 CAMBISOLS 3 Chromic Cambisols 4 Dystric Cambisols 5 Eutric Cambisols 6 Gleyic Cambisols 7 Humic Cambisols 8 Gleyic Cambisols 8 Galcic Cambisols 8 Galcic Cambisols 1 Glossic Chernozems 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 CALCIC Cambisols 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 CALCIC Cambisols 3 CALCIC Cambisols 6 Gleyic Chernozems C CHERNOZEMS C CALCIC Chernozems C CHERNOZEMS C CALCIC CALCIC CHIRNOZOLS C CALCIC CHERNOZEMS C CALCIC CALCIC CHIRNOZOLS C CALCIC CHERNOZEMS C CALCIC CALCIC CHIRNOZOLS C CALCIC CHERNOZOLS C C CALCIC CHIRNOZOLS C C CALCIC CHIRNOZOLS C C CALCIC CHIRNOZOLS C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Н	c Acrisol	Ao	2				2	
3 Chromic Cambisols 4 Dystric Cambisols 5 Eutric Cambisols 6 Gleyic Cambisols 8 Gleyic Cambisols 8 Gleyic Cambisols 8 Calcic Cambisols 1 Glossic Chernozems 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 Calcic Chernozems 3 Calcic Chernozems Che	7	CAMBISOLS	В	5.	0.000			65.3	0.000
4 Dystric Cambisols 5 Eutric Cambisols 6 Gleyic Cambisols 8 Gleyic Cambisols 8 Calcic Cambisols 8 Calcic Cambisols 9 Gelic Cambisols 1 Glossic Chernozems 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 Chernozems 2 Chernozems 3 Calcic Chernozems	ĸ	Cambis	BC	384.				384.	
5 Eutric Cambisols 6 Gleyic Cambisols 7 Humic Cambisols 8 Calcic Cambisols 8 Calcic Cambisols 9 Gelic Cambisols 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 Chernozems 2 Chernozems 3 Calcic Chernozems Chernozems 6 Haplic Chernozems	4	Cambis	Bd	30157.	.03	110338.0		0495.	.03
6 Gleyic Cambisols 7 Humic Cambisols 8 Calcic Cambisols 8 Calcic Cambisols 9 Gelic Cambisols 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 Calcic Chernozems 2 Calcic Chernozems 2 Chernozems 3 Calcic Chernozems Chernozems 4 Luvic Chernozems Che	Ŋ	Cambisc	Be	9394.	-0.002			9394.	-0.002
Humic Cambisols Realcic Cambisols Gelic Cambisols Gelic Cambisols CHERNOZEMS CHERNOZE	9	Cambisol	Bg	7248	.00	64.		132813.1	.00
8 Calcic Cambisols 9 Gelic Cambisols 6 Gelic Cambisols 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 Calcic Chernozems 2 Chernozems 3 Calcic Chernozems 6 Luvic Chernozems Chernozems 7 Calcic Chernozems Chernoze	_		Bh	8332.		79.		8511.	
9 Gelic Cambisols CHERNOZEMS CHERNOZEMS CHERNOZEMS CHERNOZEMS CHOORIC Chernozems CHAPLIC	∞	cambisol	Bķ	•		3652		3652.	
0 CHERNOZEMS 1 Glossic Chernozems 2 Haplic Chernozems 3 Calcic Chernozems Che	σ	Cambisol	Bx	049.		23.		63672.	
1 Glossic Chernozems Cg 263 293 294 Chernozems Ch 106 293 Calcic Chernozems Ck 106 106 Chernozems Ck 106 107 Chernozems Ck 106 107 Chernozems Cl 217 217 Chernozems Cl 217 217 Chernozems Cl 217 Chernozems Cl 217 Chernozems Ch 218 Cherric Podzoluvisols Ch 25 Cherric Gleysols Calcaric Gleysols Ch 25 Ch		CHERNOZEMS	บ	41650.		6602.		8253.	
Haplic Chernozems Calcic Chernozems Ck Luvic Chernozems Cl Luvic Chernozems Cl Dystric Podzoluvisols Calcaric Podzoluvisols Calcaric Gleysols Calcaric Cleysols Calcaric Fluvisols Calca		chernozem	Çg	6782.		8977.		5760.	
3 Calcic Chernozems Ck 4 Luvic Chernozems Cl 5 Dystric Podzoluvisols Dd 6 Eutric Podzoluvisols De 7 Gleyic Podzoluvisols De 8 RENDZINAS E 9 GLEYSOLS 9 GLEYSOLS 1 Dystric Gleysols Gd 2 Eutric Gleysols Gd 2 Eutric Gleysols Gd 2 Eutric Gleysols Gd 2 Futric Gleysols Gd 3 Humic Gleysols Gd 6 Futric Gleysols Gd 7 Relic Gleysols Gd 7 Relic Gleysols Gd 8 LITHOSOLS H 9 FLUVISOLS I 1 Dystric Fluvisols J 1 Dystric Fluvisols J 2 Dystric Fluvisols J 3 Dystric Fluvisols J 3 Dystric Fluvisols J 4 71 8 LITHOSOLS J 8 LITHOSOLS J 9 FLUVISOLS J 9			Сh	93123.		809.		01932.	
4 Luvic Chernozems 5 Dystric Podzoluvisols 6 Eutric Podzoluvisols 7 Gleyic Podzoluvisols 8 RENDZINAS 9 GLEYSOLS 9 Hamic Gleysols 9 GLEYSOLS 9 Hamic Gleysols 9 GLEYSOLS 9 Hamic Gleysols 9 GLEYSOLS 9 HAROZEMS 9 HAROZEMS 1 DYSTRIC FluvisolS 1 DYSTRIC FluvisolS 1 DYSTRIC FluvisolS 1 DYSTRIC FluvisolS			갽	06670.				6670.	
5 Dystric Podzoluvisols Dd 471 6 Eutric Podzoluvisols De 942 7 Gleyic Podzoluvisols Dg 105 8 RENDZINAS G G 12 9 GLEYSOLS G G 18 0 Calcaric Gleysols G G 252 1 Dystric Gleysols G G 65 2 Eutric Gleysols G G 65 3 Humic Gleysols G G 65 4 Mollic Gleysols G G 78 6 PHAEOZEMS H 106 7 Gleyic Phaeozems H 2 8 LITHOSOLS I 515 9 FLUVISOLS J G 339 1 Dystric Fluvisols J G 339			CI	17893.		940.		20834.	
6 Eutric Podzoluvisols 7 Gleyic Podzoluvisols 8 RENDZINAS 9 GLEYSOLS 9 GLEYSOLS 9 GLEYSOLS 1 Dystric Gleysols 9 GLEYSOLS 1 Dystric Gleysols 9 GG 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B		ic Podzoluviso	Dď	71690.		1112785.6		84476.	
Gleyic Podzoluvisols RENDZINAS GLEYSOLS GCAlcaric Gleysols Dystric Gleysols Humic Gleysols Mollic Gleysols Genic Gleysols Humic Gleysols Humic Gleysols Ghebrosems Horacolems Horacolems LITHOSOLS LITHOSOLS CAlcaric Fluvisols Dystric Fluvisols LITHOSOLS LITHO		Podzoluvisol	De	42113.		546.		48660.	
RENDZINAS GGEYSOLS Calcaric Gleysols Dystric Gleysols Eutric Gleysols Humic Gleysols Mollic Gleysols GGEXOLS GONDOLS Humic Gleysols Hollic Gleysols GHAEOZEMS FLUVISOLS LITHOSOLS Calcaric Fluvisols Dystric Fluvisols HORDOLS Calcaric Fluvisols Dystric Fluvisols HORDOLS JONSOLS JON		Podzoluvisol	Dg	05382.	0.057	521.		77904.	0.057
9 GLEYSOLS 0 Calcaric Gleysols 1 Dystric Gleysols 2 Eutric Gleysols 3 Humic Gleysols 6 G6 2 252 8 Humic Gleysols 6 G7 8 Mollic Gleysols 6 G8 7 R 6 PAAEOZEMS 7 Gleyic Phaeozems 8 LITHOSOLS 9 FLUVISOLS 1 Dystric Fluvisols 1 Dystric Fluvisols 3 Dystric Fluvisols 1 Dystric Fluvisols 3 Dystric Fluvisols 3 Dystric Fluvisols 3 Dystric Fluvisols 3 Dystric Fluvisols 4 Dystric Fluvisols 5 Dystric Fluvisols 6 Calcaric Fluvisols 7 Dystric Fluvisols		NA	闰	2427.				2427.	
0 Calcaric Gleysols Gc 18 1 Dystric Gleysols Gd 252 2 Eutric Gleysols Ge 65 3 Humic Gleysols Gh 226 4 Mollic Gleysols Gm 106 5 Gelic Gleysols Gm 106 6 PHAEOZEMS H 12 7 Gleyic Phaeozems Hg 12 8 LITHOSOLS I 515 9 FLUVISOLS J 34 1 Dystric Fluvisols J 33		GLEYSOLS	ט	5785.	0.169	4339.1	0.023	124.	0.192
1 Dystric Gleysols Gd 252 2 Eutric Gleysols Ge 65 3 Humic Gleysols Gh 226 4 Mollic Gleysols Gm 106 5 Gelic Gleysols Gx 78 6 PHAEOZEMS H 12 7 Gleyic Phaeozems Hg 12 8 LITHOSOLS I 515 9 FLUVISOLS J 34 0 Calcaric Fluvisols J 33		c Gleysol	D D	8861.				8861.	
2 Eutric Gleysols Ge 65 3 Humic Gleysols Gh 226 4 Mollic Gleysols Gm 106 5 Gelic Gleysols Gx 78 6 PHAEOZEMS H 12 7 Gleyic Phaeozems H 12 8 LITHOSOLS I 515 9 FLUVISOLS J 34 0 Calcaric Fluvisols Jc 32 1 Dystric Fluvisols Jd 33		Gleysol	පුර	52254.		107.	-0.012	1361.	0
3 Humic Gleysols Gh 226 4 Mollic Gleysols Gm 106 5 Gelic Gleysols Gx 78 6 PHAEOZEMS H 12 7 Gleyic Phaeozems H 12 8 LITHOSOLS I 515 9 FLUVISOLS J 34 0 Calcaric Fluvisols Jc 33 1 Dystric Fluvisols Jd 33		Gleysol	Ge	65979.	0	5992		91972.	. 20
4 Mollic Gleysols Gm 106 5 Gelic Gleysols Gx 78 6 PHAEOZEMS H 12 7 Gleyic Phaeozems Hg 12 8 LITHOSOLS I 515 9 FLUVISOLS J 34 0 Calcaric Fluvisols Jc 32 1 Dystric Fluvisols Jd 33		ט	ďР	26134.	.45	5028.	.17	61162.	. 62
5 Gelic Gleysols Gx 78 6 PHAEOZEMS 7 Gleyic Phaeozems Hg 8 LITHOSOLS I 515 9 FLUVISOLS J 34 0 Calcaric Fluvisols JC 33		ic Gleysol	ф	06988.	.40	3414.	0.502	0402.	.90
6 PHAEOZEMS 7 Gleyic Phaeozems 8 LITHOSOLS 9 FLUVISOLS 0 Calcaric Fluvisols 1 Dystric Fluvisols 339		Gleysol	ĢX	8938.	.13	4645.	.42	03584.	. 55
7 Gleyic Phaeozems Hg 515 8 LITHOSOLS I 34 9 9 FLUVISOLS J 34 0 Calcaric Fluvisols Jc 39 Jd 39		PHAEOZEMS	Н	2974.				2974.	
8 LITHOSOLS 9 FLUVISOLS 0 Calcaric Fluvisols 1 Dystric Fluvisols 39		seoz	Hg	Ω.				Ω.	
9 FLUVISOLS 0 Calcaric Fluvisols 1 Dystric Fluvisols 39		LITHOSOLS	Н	15508.		1574543.6	1.501	52.	1.501
0 Calcaric Fluvisols Jc 1 Dystric Fluvisols Jd 39		FLUVISOLS	p	4784.	0.131	923.	0.	2707.	.13
1 Dystric Fluvisols Jd 39		Fluvisol	Ja	32.				132.	
		stric Fluvisol	Jď	39036.		26261.0	0.067	65297.	0.067
2 Eutric Fluvisols Je 149			Je	9256.	0.517	8796.	0.	8052.	. 51

Appendix 2. (continued)

	SOIL GROUP/UNIT	SOIL	NON-PERMAFROST	(AFROST	PERMA	PERMAFROST	TOTAL	AL
	/UNESCO, 1	GROUP/UNIT					TERRITORY OF	OF RUSSIA
			AREA km²	${\rm FLUX} \\ {\rm Tg} \ {\rm Yr}^{-1}$	AREA km²	$\frac{\mathtt{FLUX}}{\mathtt{Tg}\ \mathtt{Yr}^{-1}}$	AREA km²	FLUX Tg ${ m yr}^{-1}$
33	KASTANOZEMS	K	326.				326.	
34	Haplic Kastanozems	Kh	5005.		5978.6		0984.	
	Calcic Kastanozems	Kk	29302.1				29302.1	
	Luvic Kastanozems	Kl	5012.				5012.	
37	LUVISOLS	П	2312.	-0.001			2312.	-0.001
	Albic Luvisols	Гa	4812.				4812.	
	Chromic Luvisols	ГC	2360.				360.	
	Gleyic Luvisols	Lg	7264.				7264.	
41	Orthic Luvisols	ГО	79006.	-0.013	103		79109.	-0.013
42	Gleyc Greyzems	Mg	60881.		84.		76265.	
43	Orthic Greyzems	Mo	5792.	90.	628.		3421.	.06
44	HISTOSOLS	0	758.	.01			758.	.01
45	Dystric Histosols	po	8037.	.41	53142.	99.	61179.	.07
46	Eutric Histosols	Oe	5840	0.599	258719.5	5.939	127.	6.539
47	Gelic Histosols	ΝO	7761.	00.	67501.	.71	85263.	.71
48		Д	8020.	00.	215.	00.	70236.	.01
49	Gleyic Podzols	Pg	3215.	.04	971.	.02	1186.	.07
20	Humic Podzols	Ph	48452.	0.00	3476.		71928.	00.
51	Podz	ΡJ	9054.	.00			9054.	.00
52	Orthic Podzols	Ро	454.	0.09	21190.4		3645.	0.09
23		ద	2137.	00.			12137.	00.
54	O	Rc	574		111208.3		949.	
22	7)	Rd	768.		2210.		09979.	
26	U	Re	175.	-0.000			175.	-0.000
22	Gelic Regosols	Rx	37.		871719.6	7.912	857.	.91
28	\vdash	ഗ	6043.				6043.	
59		Sg	110.		10231.9		342.	
09	Solonet	Sm	8033.				8033.	
61	Orthic Solonetz	So	8722.				8722.	
62	ANDOSOLS	H	6911.		3323.9		0235.	
63	Humic Andosols	Th	2912.4				$^{\circ}$	
64	Ochric Andosols	OL	16		9313.2		47	
65	Vitric Andosols	Γ	212		776.		\sim	

Appendix 2. (continued)

	RANKERS Eutric Planosols Solodic Planosols XEROSOLS Haplic Xerosols							
	IRS -c Planosols lic Planosols SOLS -c Xerosols) 1	AREA km²	$\mathtt{FLUX} \\ \mathtt{Tg} \ \ \mathtt{Yr}^{-1}$	AREA	$\mathtt{FLUX} \\ \mathtt{Tg} \ \mathrm{Yr}^{-1}$	$AREA$ km^2	${\tt FLUX} \\ {\tt Tg} \ Y{\tt r}^{-1}$
	c Fianosois lic Planosois SOLS c Xerosois	n i	11781.0		179.5		11960.5	
	SOLS C Xerosols	M M	17093 6		33671 E		124.5 50765 1	
	c Xerosols	<u>n</u> ×	6043.5		•		6043.5	
		: xx	20190.9				20190.9	
	Luvic Xerosols	X	24557.0				24557.0	
	CHAKS G Solonchaks	7 7	441./ 0368 2				441./ 0368_0	
	c Solonchaks	n E	9194.5				9194.5	
	c Solonchaks	ZO	11923.4		7.697		12693.1	
	UNEXAMINED AREA OF SOILS	: :	2606879.4		3981			
	F SO		4575760.5	4.134	5485329.0	19.928	10061089.5	24.062
	AREA of SOILS:		7182639.9		9374310.5		16556950.4	
TOTAL	S, SHIFTING SANDS ER S ATA	DS GL RK WR ND	32158.2 6355.6 20222.0 186959.7 237.6		35149.3		32158.2 6355.6 55371.3 186959.7 237.6	
	TOTAL AREA Of MISCELLANEOUS LAND UNITS		245933.1		35149.3		281082.4	
TOTAL	. UNEXAMINED AREA:		2852812.5 4575760.5	4.134	3924130.8 5485329.0	19.928	6776943.3 10061089.5	24.062
TOTAL	AREA:		7428573.0		9409459.8		16838032.8	
UNEXA TOT.	UNEXAMINED AREA OF TOTAL AREA OF RUSSIA	0/0	38.403		41.704		40.248	
EXAMINE) TOTAL	EXAMINED AREA OF TOTAL AREA OF RUSSIA	0/0	61.597		58.296		59.752	

APPENDIX 3. Duration of frostless period for various locations in the territory of Russia. According to "Principal data on the climate of the USSR" (Osnovnye dannye po klimatu SSSR 1976).

NN	CITY/PLACE NAME	LATITUDE* N decimal	LONGITUDE* E decimal	FROSTLESS PERIOD
		degrees	degrees	days
1.	Abakan	53.70	91.40	119
2.	Aktyubinsk	50.28	57.09	138
3.	Aldan	58.58	125.60	97
4.	Anadyr'	64.70	177.40	82
5.	Arkhangelsk	64.60	40.60	118
6.	Astrakhan'	46.40	48.10	189
7.	Bakchar	57.10	82.11	102
8.	Barabinsk	55.45	78.27	121
9.	Barnaul	53.28	83.89	118
10.	Belgorod	50.60	36.60	154
11.	Birobidzhan	48.80	132.90	137
12.	Biysk	52.50	85.20	115
13.	Blagoveschensk	50.30	127.50	144
14.	Bratsk	56.10	101.60	99
15.	Bryansk	53.20	34.40	136
16.	Cheboksary	56.10	47.30	148
17.	Chelyabinsk	55.10	61.40	118
18.	Chelyuskin, cape	76.86	104.81	0
19.	Cherkessk	44.30	42.10	191
20.	Chita	52.00	113.50	83
21.	Dikson	73.43	80.54	56
22.	Dnepropetrovsk	48.56	34.93	190
23.	Donetsk	47.97	37.84	171
24.	Dudinka	69.40	86.20	80
25.	Ekaterinburg	56.80	60.70	115
26.	Elista	46.30	44.20	178
27.	Eniseysk	58.48	92.09	103
28.	Gomel'	52.41	30.88	161
29.	Grodno	53.64	23.88	161
30.	Grozny	43.30	45.70	187
31.	Gur'ev	47.16	51.88	172
32.	Igarka	67.54	86.50	86
33.	Ilimsk	56.84	103.81	87
34.	Irkutsk	52.30	104.20	98
35.	Ishim	56.20	69.39	108
36. 37.	Ivanovo	57.00	41.00	116
38.	Izhevsk	56.80 54.70	53.30 20.50	128 181
	Kaliningrad	54.70		
39. 40.	Kaluga Kandalaksha	54.50 67.22	36.30 32.19	130 102
41. 42.	Karaganda Kazan'	49.86 55.70	73.16 49.10	125 150
42.	Kemerovo	55.40	86.00	108
43.	Khabarovsk	48.40	135.10	159
45.	Khanty-Mansiysk	61.00	69.00	122
46.	Khatanga	72.00	102.36	73
47.	Kirov	58.60	49.30	122
48.	Kokchetav	53.36	69.33	120
49.	Kolpashevo	58.40	82.92	113
		JU. TU	02.72	

Appendix 3. (continued)

NN	CITY/PLACE NAME	LATITUDE* N decimal degrees	LONGITUDE* E decimal degrees	FROSTLESS PERIOD days
50.	Komsomolsk-na-Amure	50.50	137.00	137
51.	Kostroma	57.80	40.90	135
52.	Krasnodar	45.00	39.00	192
53.	Krasnoyarsk	56.00	92.80	120
54.	Kudymkar	59.00	54.60	102
55.	Kulunda	52.50	78.98	132
56.	Kurgan	55.40	65.30	119
57.	Kursk	51.70	36.20	164
58.	Kustanay	53.28	63.63	120
59.	Kyzyl	51.70	94.40	116
60.	Lipetsk	52.60	39.70	154
61.	Lugansk	48.56	39.40	155
62.	Makhach-Kala	43.00	47.50	234
63.	Maykop	44.60	40.10	196
64.	Mogilev	53.92	30.40	153
65.	Moscow	55.70	37.60	139
66.	Murmansk	69.00	33.10	109
67.	Nadym	65.68	72.72	74
68.	Nalchik	43.50	43.60	195
69.	Naryan-Mar	67.60	53.00	93
70.	Nikolaevsk-na-Amure	53.17	140.89	119
71.	Nizhne-Angarsk	55.78	109.41	117
72.	Nizhniy Novgorod	56.30	43.90	150
73.	Nizhniy Tagil	57.90	60.00	85
74.	Novgorod	58.50	31.30	127
75.	Novosibirsk	55.00	82.90	120
76.	Okhotsk	59.41	143.27	111
77.	Olekminsk	60.34	120.51	100
78.	Olenek	68.58	112.55	47
79.	Omolon	63.24	158.27	47
80.	Omsk	55.10	73.20	114
81.	Orenburg	51.80	55.10	147
82.	Oryel	53.00	36.10	145
83.	Oymyakon	63.64	143.02	0
84.	Penza	53.20	45.00	151
85.	Perm'	58.00	56.20	115
86.	Petropavlovsk (Kazakhskiy)	54.93	69.11	124
87.	Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy	53.00	158.60	121
88.	Petrozavodsk	61.70	34.40	123
89.	Provideniya, (bay) Pskov	64.44 57.80	185.36	79 146
90. 91.	PSKOV Riga	56.89	28.30 24.07	146 133
91. 92.	Rostov-na-Donu	47.20	39.60	133 178
93.	Ryazan'	54.60	39.70	148
94.	Salekhard	66.50	66.60	96
95.	Samara	53.10	50.10	157
96.	Sankt-Peterburg	59.90	30.10	156
97.	Saransk	54.20	45.20	135
98.	Saratov	51.50	46.00	163
99.	Semipalatinsk	50.36	80.28	116

Appendix 3. (continued)

NN	CITY/PLACE NAME	LATITUDE* N decimal	LONGITUDE* E decimal	FROSTLESS PERIOD
		degrees	degrees	days
100.	Syktyvkar	61.70	50.80	102
101.	Smolensk	54.80	32.00	129
102.	Sochi	43.60	39.70	259
103.	Srednekolymsk	67.42	153.56	78
104.	Stavropol'	45.00	42.00	187
105.	Surgut	61.17	73.41	98
106.	Tallinn	60.56	24.60	164
107.	Tambov	52.70	41.40	152
108.	Tartu	58.32	26.74	151
109.	Tiksi, (bay)	71.59	128.90	50
110.	Tobol'sk	58.17	68.32	125
111.	Tomsk	56.50	85.00	114
112.	Tselinograd	51.11	71.44	123
113.	Tula	54.20	37.60	141
114.	Turukhansk	65.76	88.00	89
115.	Tver'	56.91	35.95	120
116.	Tyumen'	57.10	65.50	121
117.	Ufa	54.80	56.10	142
118.	Ukhta	63.60	53.70	84
119.	Ulan-Ude	51.80	107.60	102
120.	Ul'yanovsk	54.30	48.40	130
121.	Ural'sk	51.25	51.51	144
122.	Ust'-Barguzin	53.35	108.88	93
123.	Ust'-Kamenogorsk	49.86	82.55	132
124.	Velikie Luki	56.30	30.50	130
125.	Verkhoyansk	67.59	133.59	67
126.	Vilyuysk	63.66	121.55	98
127.	Vil'nyus	54.67	25.41	160
128.	Vitim	59.52 52.40	112.48	85
129. 130.	Vladikavkaz Vladimir	52.40	61.70 40.40	186 141
130.	Vladimir Vladivostok	43.10	131.90	188
131.		48.70	44.50	162
132.	Volgograd Volochanka	48.70 71.09	94.63	162 74
134.	Vologda	59.30	39.90	116
134.	Vologda Volokolamsk	56.12	35.98	121
136.	Voronezh	51.80	33.50	159
137.	Yakutsk	62.00	129.70	97
138.	Yaroslavl'	57.60	39.90	117
139.	Yoshkar-Ola	56.60	47.90	121
140.	Zaporozh'e	47.95	35.18	187
	MAX	76.86	185.36	259
	MIN	43.00	20.50	0

^{*} The geographical coordinates of the big cities were kindly given by O. Rigina during her stay at IIASA, where she participated in the Young Scientists Summer Program (YSSP), Forest Resources Project, in the summer of 1995. The coordinates for the rest of the locations were determined according to the *Atlas of the World* (1967).